arXiv:1605.06384v1 [math.QA] 20 May 2016

ON DUALITY OF ALGEBRAIC QUANTUM GROUPOIDS

THOMAS TIMMERMANN

Abstract. Like quantum groups, quantum groupoids frequently appear in pairs of mutually dual objects. We develop a general Pontrjagin duality theory for quantum groupoids in the algebraic setting that extends Van Daele's duality theory for multiplier Hopf algebras and overcomes the finiteness restrictions of the approach of Kadison, Szlachányi, Böhm and Schauenburg. Our construction is based on the integration theory for multiplier Hopf algebroids and yields, as a corollary, a duality theory for weak multiplier Hopf algebras with integrals. We compute the duals in several examples and introduce morphisms of multiplier Hopf algebroids to succinctly describe their structure. Moreover, we show that such morphisms preserve the antipode.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Measured regular multiplier Hopf algebroids 7
3. Duality 13
4. Morphisms 28
5. Examples 32
References 44

1. Introduction

A fundamental feature of quantum groups and quantum groupoids is that, like locally compact abelian groups and their Pontrjagin duals, many appear as pairs of mutually dual objects, for example, function and convolution algebras of groups and groupoids, quantizations of function algebras and of universal enveloping algebras of Lie-Poisson groups and groupoids [7], [11], or Galois symmetries for depth 2 inclusions of rings or factors [10].

General duality results on existence of a dual object and an identification of the bidual with the initial object were obtained, for example, for finite-dimensional (weak) Hopf algebras [19], for quantum groupoids that are finite relative to the base algebras by Kadison, Szlachányi, Böhm and Schauenburg [5], [10], [18], for multiplier Hopf algebras with integrals by Van Daele [25], and in the operator-algebraic framework for locally compact

Date: May 23, 2016.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16T05.
Key words and phrases. bialgebroid, Hopf algebroid, weak Hopf algebra, quantum groupoid, Pontrjagin duality, integral, morphism.
Supported by the SFB 878 "Groups, geometry and actions" funded by the DFG.

quantum groups by Kustermans and Vaes [13] and for measured quantum groupoids by Enock and Lesieur [9], [15].

In this article, we establish a general duality theory for algebraic quantum groupoids in the form of multiplier Hopf algebroids [23], without any finiteness assumption, following the elegant approach to the duality of algebraic quantum groups of Van Daele [25]. The key assumption that we impose is existence of integrals [22], which correspond to integration with respect to the Haar measure on a group or with respect to a Haar system and a quasi-invariant measure on a groupoid, and also play a crucial role in the operator-algebraic approaches to quantum groups and quantum groupoids mentioned above. The regular multiplier Hopf algebroids that we use simultaneously generalize the weak (multiplier) Hopf algebras [4], [19], [27], [28], and Hopf algebroids [2], [16], [30]. As a special case, we obtain a duality theory for weak (multiplier) Hopf algebras with integrals, again without any finiteness assumption.

As a tool, we introduce morphisms of multiplier Hopf algebroids, show that they preserve the antipode, and use them to describe the structure of the dual in several examples.

To motivate our approach and explain the problems that we have to solve, let us first outline the afore-mentioned approaches.

If A is a finite-dimensional (weak) Hopf algebra with multiplication $m\colon A\otimes A\to A$ and comultiplication $\Delta\colon A\to A\otimes A$, then the dual vector space $A^\vee$ is a (weak) Hopf algebra with respect to the dual maps $\Delta^\vee\colon A^\vee\otimes A^\vee\to A^\vee$ and $m^\vee\colon A^\vee\to A^\vee\otimes A^\vee$, where finite-dimensionality is used to identify $A^\vee\otimes A^\vee$ with $(A\otimes A)^\vee$.

To extend this duality beyond the finite-dimensional case and from (weak) Hopf algebras to (multiplier) Hopf algebroids, two problems have to be overcome.

The first problem is that in the infinite-dimensional case, the tensor product $A^\vee\otimes A^\vee$ is a proper subspace of $(A\otimes A)^\vee$, and while the multiplication on $A^\vee$ can still be defined by the formula $\upsilon*\omega:=(\upsilon\otimes\omega)\circ\Delta$, the comultiplication may not be well-defined because the dual map $m^\vee\colon A^\vee\to(A\otimes A)^\vee$ need not take values in the subspace $A^\vee\otimes A^\vee$. To solve this problem, we follow Van Daele [25] who assumed existence of a left or right integral on A, that is, of a functional ϕ or ψ satisfying

$$(\iota\otimes\phi)\Delta(a)=\phi(a)1_A\quad\text{or}\quad(\psi\otimes\iota)\Delta(a)=\psi(a)1_A.$$

Given such integrals, the spaces of all functionals of the form $a\cdot\phi\colon b\mapsto\phi(ba)$ or $\psi\cdot a\colon b\to\psi(ab)$, respectively, coincide, form a subalgebra $\hat A$ of $A^\vee$, and carry a comultiplication $\hat\Delta$ that takes values in the multiplier algebra $M(\hat A\otimes\hat A)$ such that $(\hat A\otimes 1)\hat\Delta(A)$ and $\hat\Delta(\hat A)(1\otimes\hat A)$ lie in $\hat A\otimes\hat A$ and

$$\begin{aligned}((\upsilon\otimes 1)\hat\Delta(\omega)|a\otimes b)&=(\upsilon\otimes\omega|\Delta(a)(1\otimes b)),\\(\hat\Delta(\upsilon)(1\otimes\omega)|a\otimes b)&=(\upsilon\otimes\omega|(a\otimes 1)\Delta(b))\end{aligned}\tag{1.0.1}$$

for all $a,b\in A$ and $\upsilon,\omega\in\hat A$. Then $\hat A$ is a so-called multiplier Hopf algebra and has a left and a right integral $\hat\psi$ and $\hat\phi$ again, given by $a\cdot\phi\mapsto\varepsilon(a)$ and $\psi\cdot a\mapsto\epsilon(a)$, respectively, where ε denotes the counit of A. Moreover, the associated dual $\hat{\hat A}$ is canonically isomorphic to A.

The second problem is that if one passes from weak Hopf algebras to Hopf algebroids, the comultiplication does no longer map A to $A \otimes A$. Instead, the algebra A can be regarded as a bimodule over two anti-isomorphic base algebras R and L, and one has a left comultiplication Δ_L and a right comultiplication Δ_R that map A to the balanced tensor products $A_L \otimes_L A$ or $A_R \otimes_R A$, respectively. Hence, we can not define a multiplication on the dual vector space $A^\vee$ as before, but only on each of the four dual modules $({}_LA)^\vee$, $(A_L)^\vee$, $({}_RA)^\vee$ and $(A_R)^\vee$, for example, on $({}_LA)^\vee$ and $(A_R)^\vee$ by

$$ {}_L\upsilon * {}_L\omega = {}_L\upsilon \circ (\iota \otimes {}_L\omega) \circ \Delta_L \quad \text{and} \quad \upsilon_R * \omega_R = \omega_R \circ (\upsilon_R \otimes \iota) \circ \Delta_R. \tag{1.0.2} $$

If the modules ${}_LA, A_L$ and ${}_RA, A_R$ are finitely generated and projective, then one can dualize the multiplication on A and define a one-sided comultiplication on each of the four dual modules so that one obtains two left bialgebroids and two right bialgebroids. Without further assumption, it is not clear how to assemble these four one-sided bialgebroids into one (two-sided) Hopf algebroid. In [5], Böhm and Szlachányi show that this can be done if one assumes existence of an integral *in* A [1], and obtain a duality theory for Hopf algebroids with cointegrals.[1]

Let us now explain our approach and the organization of this article. Briefly, we carry over Van Daele's duality theory to regular multiplier Hopf algebroids, using the theory of integration on such objects developed in [22].

In Section 2, we summarize the definition of a regular multiplier Hopf algebroid and the ingredients needed for integration on such an object.

A *regular multiplier Hopf algebroid* consists of an algebra A, possibly without unit, two subalgebras $B, C \subseteq M(A)$ with two fixed anti-isomorphisms $C \rightleftarrows B$, and a left comultiplication Δ_C and a right comultiplication Δ_B such that the maps

$$ \begin{aligned} &T_\lambda \colon a \otimes b \mapsto \Delta_C(b)(a \otimes 1), \quad T_\rho \colon a \otimes b \mapsto \Delta_C(a)(1 \otimes b), \\ &{}_\lambda T \colon a \otimes b \mapsto (a \otimes 1)\Delta_B(b), \quad {}_\rho T \colon a \otimes b \mapsto (1 \otimes b)\Delta_B(a) \end{aligned} \tag{1.0.3} $$

induce bijections between balanced tensor products of A relative to the base algebras B and C, respectively. This bijectivity condition is equivalent to the existence of a left counit ${}_C\varepsilon \colon A \to C$ for Δ_C, a right counit $\varepsilon_B \colon A \to B$ for Δ_B, and an antipode S.

To perform integration, we first need a *partial left integral* and a *partial right integral*, that is, a C-bilinear map ${}_C\phi_C \colon A \to C$ and a B-bilinear map ${}_B\psi_B \colon A \to B$ satisfying

$$ (\iota \otimes {}_C\phi_C)((a \otimes 1)\Delta_C(b)) = a\,{}_C\phi_C(b) \quad \text{and} \quad ({}_B\psi_B \otimes \iota)(\Delta_B(a)(1 \otimes b)) = {}_B\psi_B(a)b. $$

These partial integrals allow us to solve the first problem mentioned above, which arose from the infiniteness of A. In the situation studied by Böhm and Szlachányi, where A is a Hopf algebroid with a cointegral, existence of such partial integrals is automatic [5, Lemma 5.6]; see also [1], [3].

Next, we need *base weight*, which is a pair of faithful functionals μ_B on B and μ_C on C satisfying a few natural conditions, most importantly, *quasi-invariance* with respect to ${}_C\phi_C$ and ${}_B\psi_B$, and the *modularity condition* $\mu_B \circ \varepsilon_B = \mu_C \circ {}_C\varepsilon$. A base weight allows us to solve the second problem mentioned above, namely, to assemble four different

[1] To avoid confusion between integrals *in* A and integrals *on* A, we henceforth reserve the term integral for the latter and use the term *cointegral* for the former.

convolution algebras into one single dual algebra $\hat{A}$. Quasi-invariance implies that the *total integrals* $\phi := \mu_C \circ {}_C\phi_C$ and $\psi := \mu_B \circ {}_B\psi_B$ satisfy

$$\phi \cdot A = A \cdot \phi = \psi \cdot A = A \cdot \psi \text{ in } A^\vee. \tag{1.0.4}$$

If the multiplier Hopf algebroid arises from a weak (multiplier) Hopf algebra as in [24], there exists a canonical choice of such a base weight, given by the restriction of the counit functional ϵ to B and to C, respectively.

A regular multiplier Hopf algebroid with partial integrals and a base weight as above will be called a *measured multiplier Hopf algebroid*.

In Section 3, we associate to a measured multiplier Hopf algebroid A as above a dual measured multiplier Hopf algebroid $\hat{A}$ as follows.

As a vector space, $\hat{A}$ is the subspace of $A^\vee$ given in (1.0.4). These equations imply that every functional $\omega \in \hat{A}$ admits (unique) factorizations of the form

$$\omega = \mu_B \circ {}_B\omega = \mu_B \circ \omega_B = \mu_C \circ {}_C\omega = \mu_C \circ \omega_C \tag{1.0.5}$$

with ${}_B\omega \in ({}_BA)^\vee$, $\omega_B \in (A_B)^\vee$ and ${}_C\omega \in ({}_CA)^\vee$, $\omega_C \in (A_C)^\vee$. Using these factorizations, we showed already in [22] that the formulas

$$\upsilon *_C \omega := \upsilon \circ (\iota \otimes {}_C\omega) \circ \Delta_C \quad \text{and} \quad \upsilon *_B \omega := \omega \circ (\upsilon_B \otimes \iota) \circ \Delta_B$$

define two algebra structures on $\hat{A}$ which coincide precisely because of the modularity assumption on the base weight. This algebra, along with its natural action on A by convolution on the left and on the right, is studied in subsection 3.2. In the unital case, the subspaces

$$A \cdot {}_C\phi_C \subseteq ({}_CA)^\vee, \quad {}_C\phi_C \cdot A \subseteq (A_C)^\vee, \quad A \cdot {}_B\psi_B \subseteq ({}_BA)^\vee, \quad {}_B\psi_B \cdot A \subseteq (A_B)^\vee$$

of the four dual modules are subalgebras, and composition with μ_C or μ_B, respectively, maps these subalgebras isomorphically onto $\hat{A}$, which solves the second problem mentioned above.

The algebras B and C embed naturally into $M(\hat{A})$ so that we can regard $\hat{A}$ as a module over these base algebras in various ways.

We next construct a dual left comultiplication $\hat{\Delta}_B$ and a dual right comultiplication $\hat{\Delta}_C$ such that the associated maps

$$\hat{T}_\lambda \colon \upsilon \otimes \omega \mapsto \hat{\Delta}_B(\omega)(\upsilon \otimes 1), \qquad \hat{T}_\rho \colon \upsilon \otimes \omega \mapsto \hat{\Delta}_B(\upsilon)(1 \otimes \omega),$$
$${}_\lambda\hat{T} \colon \upsilon \otimes \omega \mapsto (\upsilon \otimes 1)\hat{\Delta}_C(\omega), \qquad {}_\rho\hat{T} \colon \upsilon \otimes \omega \mapsto (1 \otimes \omega)\hat{\Delta}_C(\upsilon)$$

dualize the corresponding canonical maps (1.0.3) similarly as in (1.0.1). To do so, we need to define various pairings between balanced tensor squares of $\hat{A}$ and balanced tensor squares of A, where we use the factorizations (1.0.5) of functionals $\omega \in \hat{A}$.

To obtain the full duality result, we show in subsection 3.4 that, as in the case of multiplier Hopf algebras [25], the maps

$${}_C\hat{\psi}_C \colon a \cdot \phi \mapsto {}_C\varepsilon(a) \qquad \text{and} \qquad {}_B\hat{\phi}_B \colon \psi \cdot a \mapsto \varepsilon_B(a)$$

form a partial right and a partial left integral on $\hat{A}$ so that one obtains a measured multiplier Hopf algebroid again, and that the natural map $A \to (\hat{A})^\vee$ identifies A with the bidual $\hat{\hat{A}}$.

The dual $\hat{A}$ turns out to be unital if and only if A has a left and a right cointegral. Existence of such cointegrals is the starting assumption in the duality developed in [5].

In Section 4, we introduce the notion of a morphism between regular multiplier Hopf algebroids, which requires some care when the algebras involved are non-unital and when the base algebras are allowed to change. We show that every morphism preserves the antipode and pay special attention to the case where the target is the dual of a measured regular multiplier Hopf algebroid.

In Section 5, we finally consider examples coming from étale groupoids, from actions of Hopf algebras, and from braided-commutative Yetter-Drinfeld algebras, and compute the dual in each of these cases. Moreover, we show that the duality constructed in Section 3 yields, as a special case, a duality theory for weak multiplier Hopf algebras with integrals.

The material developed in this article raises several questions that will have to be addressed separately.

Given the theory of integrals developed here, one should be able to construct (operator-algebraic) measured quantum groupoids out of suitable (algebraic) measured multiplier Hopf $*$-algebroids, similarly as it was done for multiplier Hopf algebras by Kustermans and Van Daele in [12] and [14], and for dynamical quantum groups in [21]. A first step will be taken in [20]. A crucial assumption in the theory of measured quantum groupoids is that the modular automorphism groups of the left and of the right integral commute, and it would be desirable to study the implications of this assumption in the present context.

Another question concerns the implications of existence of integrals on the theory of corepresentations. We expect that in the case where A is proper in the sense that $BC \subseteq A$, and under suitable assumptions on the base algebras B and C, many results on the corepresentation theory of compact quantum groups carry over to measured multiplier Hopf $*$-algebroids.

One should also clarify the relation to the duality obtained by Schauenburg [18], Kadison and Szlachányi [10] and Böhm and Szlachányi [5] in the case where A is unital and finitely generated projective as a module over B and C.

Preliminaries. We shall use the following conventions and terminology.

All algebras and modules will be complex vector spaces and all homomorphisms will be linear maps, but much of the theory developed in this article applies in wider generality.

The identity map on a set X will be denoted by ι_X or simply ι.

Let B be an algebra, not necessarily unital. We denote by B^{op} the *opposite algebra*, which has the same underlying vector space as B, but the reversed multiplication.

Given a right module M over B, we write M_B if we want to emphasize that M is regarded as a right B-module. We call M_B *faithful* if for each non-zero $b \in B$ there exists an $m \in M$ such that mb is non-zero, *non-degenerate* if for each non-zero $m \in M$ there exists a $b \in B$ such that mb is non-zero, *idempotent* if $MB = M$, and we say that M_B *has local units in* B if for every finite subset $F \subset M$ there exists a $b \in B$ with $mb = m$ for all $m \in F$. Note that the last property implies the preceding two. We denote by

$$(M_B)^\vee := \mathrm{Hom}(M_B, B_B)$$

the dual module, and by $f^\vee\colon (N_B)^\vee \to (M_B)^\vee$ the dual of a morphism $f\colon M_B \to N_B$ of right B-modules, given by $f^\vee(\chi) = \chi \circ f$. We use the same notation for duals of vector spaces and of linear maps. We furthermore denote by $L(M_B) := \mathrm{Hom}(B_B, M_B)$ the space of *left multipliers* of the module M_B.

For left modules, we obtain the corresponding notation and terminology by identifying left B-modules with right B^{op}-modules. We denote by $R({}_BM) := \mathrm{Hom}({}_BB, {}_BM)$ the space of *right multipliers* of a left B-module ${}_BM$.

Given a right B-module M_B and a left B-module ${}_BN$, we denote by $M_B \otimes {}_BN$ the (balanced) tensor product. We shall frequently use the following slice maps associated to elements $\phi_B \in (M_B)^\vee$ and ${}_B\psi \in ({}_BN)^\vee$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\phi_B \odot \iota\colon M_B \otimes {}_BN \to N,\ m\otimes n \mapsto \phi_B(m)n,\\ &\iota \odot {}_B\psi\colon M_B \otimes {}_BN \to M,\ m\otimes n \mapsto m_B\psi(n). \end{aligned} \tag{1.0.6}$$

We write B_B or ${}_BB$ when we regard B as a right or left module over itself with respect to right or left multiplication. We say that the algebra B is *non-degenerate*, *idempotent*, or *has local units* if the modules ${}_BB$ and B_B both are non-degenerate, idempotent or both have local units in B, respectively. Note that the last property again implies the preceding two.

We denote by $L(B) = \mathrm{End}(B_B)$ and $R(B) = \mathrm{End}({}_BB)^{\mathrm{op}}$ the algebras of left or right multipliers of B, respectively, where the multiplication in the latter algebra is given by $(fg)(b) := g(f(b))$. Note that B_B (or ${}_BB$) is non-degenerate if and only if the natural map from B to $L(B)$ (or $R(B)$, respectively) is injective. If B_B is non-degenerate, we define the multiplier algebra of B to be the subalgebra $M(B) := \{t \in L(B) : Bt \subseteq B\} \subseteq L(B)$, where we identify B with its image in $L(B)$. Likewise we could define $M(B) = \{t \in R(B) : tB \subseteq B\}$ if ${}_BB$ is non-degenerate. If both definitions make sense, that is, if B is non-degenerate, then they evidently coincide up to a natural identification, and a multiplier is given by a pair of maps $t_R, t_L\colon B \to B$ satisfying $t_R(a)b = at_L(b)$ for all $a, b \in B$.

Given a left or right B-module M and a space N, we regard the space of linear maps from M to N as a right or left B-module, where $(f\cdot b)(m) = f(bm)$ or $(b\cdot f)(m) = f(mb)$ for all maps f and all elements $b \in B$ and $m \in M$, respectively.

In particular, we regard the dual space $B^\vee$ of a non-degenerate, idempotent algebra B as a bimodule over $M(B)$, where $(a\cdot\omega\cdot b)(c) = \omega(bca)$, and call a functional $\omega \in B^\vee$ *faithful* if the maps $B \to B^\vee$ given by $d \mapsto d\cdot\omega$ and $d \mapsto \omega\cdot d$ are injective, that is, $\omega(dB) \neq 0$ and $\omega(Bd) \neq 0$ whenever $d \neq 0$.

We say that a functional $\omega \in B^\vee$ *admits a modular automorphism* if there exists an automorphism σ of B such that $\omega(ab) = \omega(b\sigma(a))$ for all $a, b \in B$. One easily verifies that this condition holds if and only if $B\cdot\omega = \omega\cdot B$, and that then σ is characterized by the relation $\sigma(b)\cdot\omega = \omega\cdot b$ for all $b \in B$.

Assume that B is a $*$-algebra. We call a functional $\omega \in B^\vee$ *self-adjoint* if it coincides with $\omega^* = *\circ\omega\circ *$, that is, $\omega(a^*) = \omega(a)^*$ for all $a \in B$, and *positive* if additionally $\omega(a^*a) \geq 0$ for all $a \in A$.

2. Measured regular multiplier Hopf algebroids

This section summarizes the definition and main properties of measured multiplier Hopf algebroids, which are regular multiplier Hopf algebroids with partial integrals and base weights. References are [22] and [23].

2.1. **Regular multiplier Hopf algebroids.** A (two-sided) multiplier bialgebroid is a tuple

$$(A, B, C, t_B, t_C, \Delta_B, \Delta_C)$$

consisting of the following ingredients:

(1) a *total algebra* A, which is non-degenerate and idempotent;
(2) *base algebras* $B, C \subseteq M(A)$;
(3) anti-isomorphisms $t_B \colon B \to C$ and $t_C \colon C \to B$;
(4) a *right comultiplication* Δ_B and a *left comultiplication* Δ_C, which are homomorphisms from A into certain extended right or left Takeuchi products.

The base algebras B and C need not be commutative, but have to commute with each other, and the algebra A is assumed to be non-degenerate and idempotent when regarded as a left or as a right module over B and over C.

To formulate the assumptions on the left and the right comultiplication, we need more notation.

We denote elements of B by $x, x', \ldots$, elements of C by $y, y', \ldots$, and reserve $a, b, c, \ldots$ for elements of A. We write

$$ {}_BA, \qquad A_B, \qquad {}_CA, \qquad A_C \qquad \text{and} \qquad A^B, \qquad {}^BA, \qquad A^C, \qquad {}^CA, $$

when we regard A as a left or right module over B or C, respectively, using left or right multiplication in the case of subscripts and the base anti-isomorphisms t_B and t_C in the case of superscripts. Thus, in order of appearance, the module structures are given by

$$ x \cdot a = xa, \qquad a \cdot x = ax, \qquad y \cdot a = ya, \qquad a \cdot y = ay, $$
$$ a \cdot x = t_B(x)a, \qquad x \cdot a = at_B(x), \qquad a \cdot y = t_C(y)a, \qquad y \cdot a = at_C(y) $$

for all $a \in A$, $x \in B$ and $y \in C$, respectively. By assumption, all of these modules are non-degenerate and idempotent. Any two of these module structures commute in the sense that one obtains a bimodule, and we use two indices when we regard A as a bimodule.

We denote the balanced tensor product of modules by the ordinary tensor sign but emphasize the module structures involved.

Regard $A^C \otimes {}_CA$ as a right and $A_B \otimes {}^BA$ as a left module over $M(A) \otimes M(A)$ in the obvious way. Since B and C commute, we can also regard $A^C \otimes {}_CA$ as a left and $A_B \otimes {}^BA$ as a right module over $C \otimes B$. We write $(a \otimes b)T$ for the image of an element $a \otimes b$ under an element $T \in \mathrm{End}(A_B \otimes {}^BA)^{\mathrm{op}}$, so that $(a \otimes b)(ST) = ((a \otimes b)S)T$ for all $a, b \in A$ and $S, T \in \mathrm{End}(A_B \otimes {}^BA)^{\mathrm{op}}$.

Denote by

$$ A^C\overline{\times}_CA \subseteq \mathrm{End}(A^C \otimes {}_CA) $$

the subspace formed by all endomorphisms T of $A^C \otimes {}_C A$ satisfying the following condition: for every $a, b \in A$, there exist elements

$$T(a \otimes 1) \in A^C \otimes {}_C A \quad \text{and} \quad T(1 \otimes b) \in A^C \otimes {}_C A$$

such that

$$T(a \otimes b) = (T(a \otimes 1))(1 \otimes b) = (T(1 \otimes b))(a \otimes 1)$$

This subspace is a subalgebra and commutes with the right $A \otimes A$-module action. Likewise, denote by

$$A_B \overline{\times}^B A \subseteq \mathrm{End}(A_B \otimes {}^B A)^{\mathrm{op}}$$

the subspace formed by all endomorphisms T such that for all $a, b \in A$, there exist elements $(a \otimes 1)T \in A_B \otimes {}^B A$ and $(1 \otimes b)T \in A_B \otimes {}^B A$ such that

$$(a \otimes b)T = (1 \otimes b)((a \otimes 1)T) = (a \otimes 1)((1 \otimes b)T).$$

2.1.1. **Definition.** *A* multiplier bialgebroid $(A, B, C, t_B, t_C, \Delta_B, \Delta_C)$ *consists of a non-degenerate, idempotent algebra A, subalgebras $B, C \subseteq M(A)$, anti-isomorphisms $t_B \colon B \to C$ and $t_C \colon C \to B$, and homomorphisms*

$$\Delta_C \colon A \to A^C \overline{\times}_C A \quad \textit{and} \quad \Delta_B \colon A \to A_B \overline{\times}^B A$$

satisfying the following conditions:

(1) *B and C commute with each other and the modules ${}_B A, A_B, {}_C A, A_C$ are non-degenerate and idempotent;*
(2) *$A^C \otimes {}_C A$ is non-degenerate as a right module over $1 \otimes A$ and over $A \otimes 1$, and $A_B \otimes {}^B A$ is non-degenerate as a left module over $1 \otimes A$ and over $A \otimes 1$;*
(3) *for all $x, x' \in B$, $y, y' \in C$, $a \in A$,*

$$\Delta_C(xyax'y') = (y \otimes x)\Delta_C(a)(y' \otimes x'), \tag{2.1.1}$$
$$\Delta_B(xyax'y') = (y \otimes x)\Delta_B(a)(y' \otimes x'); \tag{2.1.2}$$

(4) *for all $a, b, c \in A$, the following coassociativity conditions hold:*

$$(\Delta_C \otimes \iota)(\Delta_C(b)(1 \otimes c))(a \otimes 1 \otimes 1) = (\iota \otimes \Delta_C)(\Delta_C(b)(a \otimes 1))(1 \otimes 1 \otimes c), \tag{2.1.3}$$
$$(a \otimes 1 \otimes 1)((\Delta_B \otimes \iota)((1 \otimes c)\Delta_B(b))) = (1 \otimes 1 \otimes c)((\iota \otimes \Delta_B)((a \otimes 1)\Delta_B(b))) \tag{2.1.4}$$
$$(\Delta_C \otimes \iota)((1 \otimes c)\Delta_B(b)))(a \otimes 1 \otimes 1), = (1 \otimes 1 \otimes c)((\iota \otimes \Delta_B)(\Delta_C(b)(a \otimes 1))), \tag{2.1.5}$$
$$(a \otimes 1 \otimes 1)((\Delta_B \otimes \iota)(\Delta_C(b)(1 \otimes c))) = ((\iota \otimes \Delta_C)((a \otimes 1)\Delta_B(b)))(1 \otimes 1 \otimes c). \tag{2.1.6}$$

Let $(A, B, C, t_B, t_C, \Delta_B, \Delta_C)$ be a multiplier bialgebroid.

Condition (2) ensures that for all $a, b \in A$, the elements $\Delta_C(a)(1 \otimes b)$ and $\Delta_C(b)(a \otimes 1)$ in $A^C \otimes {}_C A$ and $(a \otimes 1)\Delta_B(b)$ and $(1 \otimes b)\Delta_B(a)$ in $A_B \otimes {}^B A$ are uniquely determined. Some typical cases where this condition holds are given in [23, Lemma 2.1]. Condition (3) implies that the four linear maps which send an element $a \otimes b \in A \otimes A$ to either one

of these four elements factorize to well-defined linear maps

$$T_\lambda\colon A^B \otimes {}^BA \to A^C \otimes {}_CA, \quad a\otimes b \mapsto \Delta_C(b)(a\otimes 1), \tag{2.1.7}$$
$$T_\rho\colon A_B \otimes {}_BA \to A^C \otimes {}_CA, \quad a\otimes b \mapsto \Delta_C(a)(1\otimes b), \tag{2.1.8}$$
$${}_\lambda T\colon A_C \otimes {}_CA \to A_B \otimes {}^BA, \quad a\otimes b \mapsto (a\otimes 1)\Delta_B(b), \tag{2.1.9}$$
$${}_\rho T\colon A^C \otimes {}^CA \to A_B \otimes {}^BA, \quad a\otimes b \mapsto (1\otimes b)\Delta_B(a). \tag{2.1.10}$$

We call these maps the *canonical maps* of the multiplier bialgebroid.

2.1.2. **Definition.** *Let $\mathcal{A} = (A, B, C, t_B, t_C, \Delta_C, \Delta_B)$ be a multiplier bialgebroid.*
A left counit *for $\mathcal{A}$ is a morphism ${}_C\varepsilon \in \mathrm{Hom}({}_CA^C, {}_CC_C)$ satisfying*

$$({}_C\varepsilon \odot \iota)(\Delta_C(a)(1\otimes b)) = ab = (\iota \odot {}_C\varepsilon)(\Delta_C(a)(b\otimes 1)) \tag{2.1.11}$$

for all $a, b \in A$, and a right counit *for $\mathcal{A}$ is a morphism $\varepsilon_B \in \mathrm{Hom}({}^BA_B, {}_BB_B)$ satisfying*

$$(\varepsilon_B \odot \iota)((1\otimes b)\Delta_B(a)) = ba = (\iota \odot \varepsilon_B)((b\otimes 1)\Delta_B(a)). \tag{2.1.12}$$

Here, $({}_C\varepsilon \odot \iota)(a\otimes b) = {}_C\varepsilon(a)b$ and$(\iota \odot {}_C\varepsilon)(a\otimes b) = t_C({}_C\varepsilon(b))a$ for every elementary tensor $a\otimes b \in A^C \otimes {}_CA$, and $\varepsilon_B \odot \iota$ and $\iota \odot \varepsilon_B$ are defined similarly.

Let $(A, B, C, t_B, t_C, \Delta_B, \Delta_C)$ be a multiplier bialgebroid. Then by [23, Theorem 5.6], the following conditions are equivalent:

(H1) the canonical maps $T_\lambda, T_\rho, {}_\lambda T, {}_\rho T$ are bijective and the subspaces

$$ {}_BI = \langle \omega(a) : \omega \in ({}_BA)^\vee, a \in A\rangle, \qquad I^B = \langle \omega(a) : \omega \in (A^B)^\vee, a \in A\rangle,$$
$$ I_C = \langle \omega(a) : \omega \in (A_C)^\vee, a \in A\rangle, \qquad {}^CI = \langle \omega(a) : \omega \in ({}^CA)^\vee, a \in A\rangle$$

of B or C, respectively, satisfy $A = t_B({}_BI)\cdot A = I^B \cdot A = A \cdot t_C(I_C) = A \cdot {}^CI$;

(H2) there exist a left counit ${}_C\varepsilon$, a right counit ε_B and an anti-automorphism S of A such that its extension to $M(A)$ satisfies

$$S_C := S|_C = t_C^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad S_B := S|_B = t_B^{-1} \tag{2.1.13}$$

and the following diagrams commute, where m denotes the multiplication maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_B \otimes {}_BA & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_B\odot\iota} & A, \\ {\scriptstyle T_\rho}\downarrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle m} \\ A^C \otimes {}_CA & \xrightarrow[S\otimes\iota]{} & A_C \otimes {}_CA \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} A_C \otimes {}_CA & \xrightarrow{\iota\odot C\varepsilon} & A. \\ {\scriptstyle {}_\lambda T}\downarrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle m} \\ A_B \otimes {}^BA & \xrightarrow[\iota\otimes S]{} & A_B \otimes {}_BA \end{array} \tag{2.1.14}$$

If these conditions hold, then the maps ${}_B\varepsilon, \varepsilon_C$ and S are uniquely determined.

2.1.3. **Definition.** *A regular multiplier Hopf algebroid is a multiplier bialgebroid satisfying the equivalent conditions (H1) and (H2). The map S is called its* antipode.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a regular multiplier Hopf algebroid. Then the following diagrams commute,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^C \otimes {}^C A & \xrightarrow{\iota\otimes S} & A^C \otimes {}_C A, \\ {\scriptstyle \rho}T\downarrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle T_\rho} \\ A_B \otimes {}^B A & \xrightarrow[\iota\otimes S]{} & A_B \otimes {}_B A \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} A^B \otimes {}^B A & \xrightarrow{S\otimes\iota} & A_B \otimes {}^B A, \\ {\scriptstyle T_\lambda}\downarrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \lambda}T \\ A^C \otimes {}_C A & \xrightarrow[S\otimes\iota]{} & A_C \otimes {}_C A \end{array} \tag{2.1.15}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^B \otimes {}^B A & \xrightarrow{\Sigma(S\otimes S)} & A^C \otimes {}^C A \\ {\scriptstyle T_\lambda}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho}T \\ A^C \otimes {}_C A & \xrightarrow[\Sigma(S\otimes S)]{} & A_B \otimes {}^B A, \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} A_C \otimes {}_C A & \xrightarrow{\Sigma(S\otimes S)} & A_B \otimes {}_B A \\ {\scriptstyle \lambda}T\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle T_\rho} \\ A_B \otimes {}^B A & \xrightarrow[\Sigma(S\otimes S)]{} & A^C \otimes {}_C A, \end{array} \tag{2.1.16}$$

where Σ denotes the flip maps on various tensor products; see Theorem 6.8, Proposition 6.11 and Proposition 6.12 in [23]. Furthermore, by Corollary 5.12 in [23],

$$ {}_C\varepsilon = t_B \circ \varepsilon_B \circ S \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon_B = t_C \circ {}_C\varepsilon \circ S. \tag{2.1.17}$$

We shall also use the following multiplicativity of the counits, see (3.5) and (4.9) in [23]:

$$\begin{aligned} {}_C\varepsilon(ab) &= {}_C\varepsilon(a\,{}_C\varepsilon(b)) = {}_C\varepsilon(a t_C({}_C\varepsilon(b))), \\ \varepsilon_B(ab) &= \varepsilon_B(\varepsilon_B(a)b) = \varepsilon_B(t_B(\varepsilon_B(a))b) \end{aligned} \tag{2.1.18}$$

for all $a, b \in A$.

Recall that an algebra D is *firm* if the multiplication map $D \otimes D \to D$ factorizes to an isomorphism $D_D \otimes {}_D D \to D$, and that a right module M_D over an algebra D is called *locally projective* [31] if for every finite number of elements $m_1, \dots, m_k \in M$, there exist finitely many $\upsilon_i \in \mathrm{Hom}(M_D, D_D)$ and $e_i \in \mathrm{Hom}(D_D, M_D)$ such that $m_j = \sum_i e_i(\upsilon_i(m_j))$ for all $j = 1, \dots, k$. The corresponding definition for left modules is obvious.

2.1.4. **Definition.** *We call a regular multiplier Hopf algebroid* $(A, B, C, t_B, t_C, \Delta_B, \Delta_C)$ locally projective *if the algebras B and C are firm and the modules ${}_B A, A_B, {}_C A, A_C$ are locally projective.*

Finally, we consider involutions.

2.1.5. **Definition.** *A* multiplier Hopf $*$-algebroid *is a regular multiplier Hopf algebroid* $(A, B, C, t_B, t_C, \Delta_B, \Delta_C)$ *with an involution on the underlying algebra A such that*

1. *B and C are *-subalgebras of $M(A)$;*
2. *$t_B \circ * \circ t_C \circ * = \iota_C$ and $t_C \circ * \circ t_B \circ * = \iota_B$;*
3. *$\Delta_C(a^*)(b^* \otimes c^*) = ((b \otimes c)\Delta_B(a))^{(-)^*\otimes(-)^*}$ for all $a, b, c \in A$.*

Here, condition (2) ensures that the map

$$(-)^* \otimes (-)^* \colon A^C \otimes {}_C A \to A_B \otimes {}^B A,\ a \otimes b \mapsto a^* \otimes b^*$$

is well-defined. If $\mathcal{A}$ is a multiplier Hopf *-algebroid as above, then

$$\varepsilon_B \circ * = * \circ S_C \circ {}_C\varepsilon, \qquad {}_C\varepsilon \circ * = * \circ S_B \circ \varepsilon_B, \qquad S \circ * \circ S \circ * = \iota_A; \tag{2.1.19}$$

see Proposition 6.2 in [23].

2.2. **Measured multiplier Hopf algebroids.** Let $\mathcal{A} = (A, B, C, t_B, t_C, \Delta_B, \Delta_C)$ be a regular multiplier Hopf algebroid with left counit ε_C, right counit ${}_B\varepsilon$ and antipode S.

Then the following conditions on a bimodule map ${}_B\psi_B \in \mathrm{Hom}({}_BA_B, {}_BB_B)$ are equivalent:

(RI1) $(S_B \circ {}_B\psi_B \odot \iota)(\Delta_C(a)(1 \otimes b)) = {}_B\psi_B(a)b$ for all $a, b \in A$,
(RI2) $({}_B\psi_B \odot \iota)((1 \otimes b)\Delta_B(a)) = b_B\psi_B(a)$ for all $a, b \in A$,
(RI3) the following diagram commutes, where $\Sigma\colon A_C \otimes {}_CA \to A^B \otimes {}^BA$ denotes the flip:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_C \otimes {}_CA & \xrightarrow{{}_\lambda T} & A_B \otimes {}^BA \\ {\scriptstyle T_\lambda\Sigma}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle S\circ({}_B\psi_B\odot\iota)} \\ A^C \otimes {}_CA & \xrightarrow[(S_B\circ{}_B\psi_B)\odot\iota]{} & A; \end{array} \tag{2.2.1}$$

see [22, Proposition 3.1], Likewise, for every ${}_C\phi_C \in \mathrm{Hom}({}_CA_C, {}_CC_C)$, the following conditions are equivalent:

(LI1) $(\iota \odot S_C \circ {}_C\phi_C)(\Delta_C(b)(a \otimes 1)) = {}_C\phi_C(b)a$ for all $a, b \in A$,
(LI2) $(\iota \odot {}_C\phi_C)((a \otimes 1)\Delta_B(b)) = a_C\phi_C(b)$ for all $a, b \in A$,
(LI3) the following diagram commutes, where $\Sigma\colon A_B \otimes {}_BA \to A^C \otimes {}^CA$ denotes the flip:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_B \otimes {}_BA & \xrightarrow{T_\rho} & A^C \otimes {}_CA \\ {\scriptstyle {}_\rho T\Sigma}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle S\circ(\iota\odot_C\phi_C)} \\ A_B \otimes {}^BA & \xrightarrow[\iota\odot(S_C\circ_C\phi_C)]{} & A. \end{array} \tag{2.2.2}$$

Here, the slice maps $(S_B \circ {}_B\psi_B) \odot \iota$, ${}_B\psi_B \odot \iota$ and $\iota \odot {}_C\phi_C$, $\iota \odot (S_C \circ {}_C\phi_C)$ are defined similarly as in the case of left or right counits.

2.2.1. **Definition.** *A* measured regular multiplier Hopf algebroid *consists of a regular multiplier Hopf algebroid*

$$\mathcal{A} = (A, B, C, t_B, t_C, \Delta_B, \Delta_C),$$

faithful functionals μ_B on B and μ_C on C, and maps ${}_B\psi_B \in \mathrm{Hom}({}_BA_B, {}_BB_B)$ and ${}_C\phi_C \in \mathrm{Hom}({}_CA_C, {}_CC_C)$ satisfying the following conditions:

1. *$\mu_B \circ t_C = \mu_C$, $\mu_C \circ t_B = \mu_B$, and $\mu_B \circ \varepsilon_B = \mu_C \circ {}_C\varepsilon$;*
2. *(RI1)–(RI3) hold for ${}_B\psi_B$ and (LI1)–(LI3) hold for ${}_C\phi_C$;*
3. *the compositions $\psi := \mu_B \circ {}_B\psi_B$ and $\phi := \mu_C \circ {}_C\phi_C$ are faithful,*
4. *there exist surjective maps ${}_B\phi \in ({}_BA)^\vee$, $\phi_B \in (A_B)^\vee$, ${}_C\psi \in ({}_CA)^\vee$, $\psi_C \in (A_C)^\vee$ such that*

$$\psi = \mu_C \circ {}_C\psi = \mu_C \circ \psi_C \quad \textit{and} \quad \phi = \mu_B \circ {}_B\phi = \mu_B \circ \phi_B. \tag{2.2.3}$$

We call (μ_B, μ_C) the base weight*, ${}_B\psi_B$ the* partial right integral*, ${}_C\phi_C$ the* partial left integral*, and the compositions ψ and ϕ the* total right *and the* total left integral*, respectively.*

We call a measured regular multiplier Hopf algebroid as above a measured multiplier Hopf $*$-algebroid *if $\mathcal{A}$ is a multiplier Hopf $*$-algebroid and the functionals μ_B, μ_C and the total integrals ϕ, ψ are positive.*

2.2.2. **Remark.** As a consequence of (4) is that the counits ${}_C\varepsilon$ and ε_B of $\mathcal{A}$ are automatically surjective because then

$$ {}_C\varepsilon(A) = {}_C\varepsilon({}_C\phi_C(A)A) = {}_C\phi_C(A){}_C\varepsilon(A) = {}_C\phi_C(A_C\varepsilon(A)) = {}_C\phi_C(A) = C $$

by (2.1.11) and similarly ${}_B\varepsilon(A) = {}_B\psi_B(A) = B$.

A key property of total integrals is that they admit modular automorphisms:

2.2.3. **Theorem.** *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mu_B, \mu_C, {}_B\psi_B, {}_C\phi_C)$ be a measured regular multiplier Hopf algebroid. Then the functionals μ_B and μ_C and the total integrals ϕ and ψ admit modular automorphisms $\sigma_B, \sigma_C, \sigma^\phi, \sigma^\psi$, respectively, and*

$$ \begin{aligned} \sigma_C = \sigma^\phi|_C = S_B S_C, \qquad & \sigma_B = \sigma^\psi|_B = S_B^{-1} S_C^{-1}, \\ \Delta_C \circ \sigma^\phi = (S^2 \otimes \sigma^\phi) \circ \Delta_C, \qquad & \Delta_C \circ \sigma^\psi = (\sigma^\psi \otimes S^{-2}) \circ \Delta_C, \\ \Delta_B \circ \sigma^\phi = (S^2 \otimes \sigma^\phi) \circ \Delta_B, \qquad & \Delta_B \circ \sigma^\psi = (\sigma^\psi \otimes S^{-2}) \circ \Delta_B. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2.4} $$

If $\mathcal{A}$ is locally projective, then

$$ \begin{aligned} &\sigma^\phi(B) = B, \quad \mu_B \circ \sigma^\phi|_B = \mu_B, \quad \sigma^\phi|_B \circ {}_B\phi = \sigma_B \circ \phi_B, \\ &\sigma^\psi(C) = C, \quad \mu_C \circ \sigma^\psi|_C = \mu_C, \quad \sigma^\psi|_C \circ {}_C\psi = \sigma_C \circ \psi_C. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2.5} $$

Proof. The equations (2.2.4) follow from [22, Proposition 5.1, Theorem 6.2]. We suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is locally projective and prove the equations in (2.2.5) concerning σ^ϕ. By [22, Theorem 6.2] again, $\sigma^\phi(M(B)) = M(B)$ and

$$ \phi_B(xa) = (S^2 \circ \sigma^\phi)(x)\phi_B(a), \qquad {}_B\phi(ax) = {}_B\phi(a)(\sigma^\phi \circ S^2)^{-1}(x) \tag{2.2.6} $$

for all $x \in B$ and $a \in A$, and hence $\phi_B(a) = 0$ if and only if $\phi(BaB) = 0$ if and only if ${}_B\phi(a) = 0$. As ϕ_B and ${}_B\phi$ are surjective, we can conclude existence of a linear bijection θ satisfying $\phi_B = \theta \circ {}_B\phi$. Then $\phi_B(xa) = \theta(x)\phi_B(a)$ for all $x \in B$, $a \in A$ and hence, by (2.2.6), $\theta = S^2 \circ \sigma^\phi|_B$. In particular, $\sigma^\phi(B) = B$. The relation $\mu_B \circ \theta \circ \phi_B = \mu_B \circ {}_B\phi = \mu_B \circ \phi_B$ and surjectivity of ϕ_B imply $\mu_B \circ \theta = \mu_B$. □

One also has a modular element relating the left and the right integral:

2.2.4. **Theorem** ([22, Corollary 6.1])**.** *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mu_B, \mu_C, {}_B\psi_B, {}_C\phi_C)$ be a measured regular multiplier Hopf algebroid. Then there exist invertible multipliers $\delta, \delta^+, \delta^- \in M(A)$ such that $\psi = \delta \cdot \phi$, $\phi \circ S = \delta^+ \cdot \phi$ and $\phi \circ S^{-1} = \phi \cdot \delta^-$.*

2.3. **Factorizable functionals on bimodules.** Many constructions in this article become more transparent when phrased in terms of the factorizable functionals on bimodules introduced in [22]. Let us recall their definition and main properties.

Let B and C be idempotent algebras with faithful functionals μ_B and μ_C, respectively, and let M be an idempotent B-C-bimodule. Note that B and C are automatically non-degenerate.

2.3.1. **Definition.** *We call a functional $\omega \in M^\vee$* factorizable *(with respect to μ_B and μ_C) if there exist maps ${}_B\omega \in \mathrm{Hom}({}_BM, {}_BB)$ and $\omega_C \in \mathrm{Hom}(M_C, C_C)$ such that*

$$\mu_B \circ {}_B\omega = \omega = \mu_C \circ \omega_C. \tag{2.3.1}$$

We denote by

$$M^\sqcup = ({}_BM_C)^\sqcup \subseteq M^\vee$$

the subspace of all such factorizable functionals.

2.3.2. **Remarks.** (1) A functional ω on M is factorizable if and only if there exist linear maps ${}_B\omega\colon M \to B$ and $\omega_C\colon M \to C$ such that for all $x \in B$, $y \in C$, $m \in M$,

$$\omega(xm) = \mu_B(x\,{}_B\omega(m)) \quad \text{and} \quad \omega(my) = \mu_C(\omega_C(m)y)$$

(2) If $\omega \in M^\vee$ is factorizable, the maps ${}_B\omega$ and ω_C are uniquely determined.
(3) The assignment $M \mapsto M^\sqcup$ evidently is functorial.

The key property of factorizable functionals is that one can form slice maps and tensor products for such functionals as follows.

Let D be a non-degenerate, idempotent algebra with a faithful functional μ_D and let ${}_CN_D$ be an idempotent C-D-bimodule. Consider the balanced tensor product ${}_BM_C \otimes {}_CN_D$ and let $\upsilon \in M^\sqcup$ and $\omega \in N^\sqcup$. Then the following slice maps

$$\upsilon_C \odot \iota\colon M_C \otimes {}_CN_D \to N_D, \qquad \iota \odot {}_C\omega\colon {}_BM_C \otimes {}_CN \to {}_BM,$$

are morphisms of modules, and by composition with ω or υ, respectively, we obtain a factorizable functional

$$\upsilon \underset{\mu_C}{\otimes} \omega := \upsilon \circ (\iota \odot {}_C\omega) = \omega \circ (\upsilon_C \odot \iota) \in ({}_BM_C \otimes {}_CN_D)^\sqcup \tag{2.3.2}$$

which satisfies

$$(\upsilon \underset{\mu_C}{\otimes} \omega)(m \otimes n) = \mu_C(\upsilon_C(m)\,{}_C\omega(n)) \tag{2.3.3}$$

for all $m \in M$ and $n \in N$; see [22, Lemma 3.4.2].

Suppose that μ_B and μ_C admit modular automorphisms σ_B and σ_C, respectively. Then $M^\sqcup$ is an $M(C)$-$M(B)$-sub-bimodule of $M^\vee$ and

$${}_B(y \cdot \omega \cdot x)(m) = {}_B\omega(my)\sigma_B(x), \qquad (y \cdot \omega \cdot x)_C(m) = \sigma_C^{-1}(y)\omega_C(mx) \tag{2.3.4}$$

for all $\omega \in M^\sqcup$, $x \in B$, $y \in C$; see [22, Lemma 3.4.4].

3. Duality

Throughout this section, we fix a measured regular multiplier Hopf algebroid

$$(\mathcal{A}, \mu_B, \mu_C, {}_B\psi_B, {}_C\phi_C), \text{ where } \mathcal{A} = (A, B, C, t_B, t_C, \Delta_B, \Delta_C),$$

which we assume to be locally projective from subsection 3.3 on, and construct, step by step, a dual measured regular multiplier Hopf algebroid

$$(\widehat{\mathcal{A}}, \mu_C, \mu_B, {}_B\hat{\psi}_B, {}_C\hat{\phi}_C), \text{ where } \widehat{\mathcal{A}} = (\hat{A}, C, B, t_B^{-1}, t_C^{-1}, \hat{\Delta}_C, \hat{\Delta}_B).$$

As before, $\phi = \mu_C \circ {}_C\phi_C$ and $\psi = \mu_B \circ {}_B\psi_B$ denote the total left and right integrals.

3.1. **The dual as a bimodule.** The underlying algebra $\hat{A}$ of the dual $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ was introduced already in [22, Section 6.2]. Before we recall its construction, let us look at the special case where $\mathcal{A}$ is unital.

If the algebras and maps comprising $\mathcal{A}$ are unital, we can regard the left and the right comultiplication as bimodule maps

$$\Delta_B\colon {}^BA_B \to {}^BA_B \otimes {}^BA_B \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_C\colon {}_CA^C \to {}_CA^C \otimes {}_CA^C,$$

and equip the dual modules $({}^BA)^\vee$, $(A_B)^\vee$, $({}_CA)^\vee$ and $(A^C)^\vee$ with convolution products similarly as in (1.0.2). Now, the base weight (μ_B, μ_C) allows us to identify one common subalgebra inside these four convolution algebras as follows. On the spaces $({}^BA_B)^\sqcup$ and $({}_CA^C)^\sqcup$ of factorizable functionals, which can be identified with subspaces of $({}^BA)^\vee$ and $(A_B)^\vee$ or $({}_CA)^\vee$ and $(A^C)^\vee$, respectively, the multiplications take the form

$$\upsilon \cdot_B \omega := (\upsilon \underset{\mu_B}{\otimes} \omega) \circ \Delta_B \quad \text{and} \quad \upsilon \cdot_C \omega := (\upsilon \underset{\mu_C}{\otimes} \omega) \circ \Delta_C,$$

where we use the relative tensor product of factorizable functionals defined in (2.3.3) and functoriality. Moreover, on the intersection,

$$A^\sqcup := ({}^BA_B)^\sqcup \cap ({}_CA^C)^\sqcup \subseteq A^\vee, \tag{3.1.1}$$

the two multiplications coincide, because the counit functional ε, which lies in $A^\sqcup$ by [22, Remark 5.1 (3)], is a unit for both multiplications and the mixed coassociativity condition implies that for all $\upsilon, \omega \in A^\sqcup$,

$$\upsilon \cdot_B \omega = \upsilon \cdot_B (\varepsilon \cdot_C \omega) = (\upsilon \cdot_B \varepsilon) \cdot_C \omega = \upsilon \cdot_C \omega.$$

Let us now turn to the general, non-unital case. Define $A^\sqcup \subseteq A^\vee$ as in (3.1.1). Then

$$A^\sqcup = ({}_BA^B)^\sqcup \cap ({}^CA_C)^\sqcup = ({}_BA_B)^\sqcup \cap ({}_CA_C)^\sqcup = ({}^BA_B)^\sqcup \cap ({}_CA^C)^\sqcup. \tag{3.1.2}$$

Indeed, a functional ω on A lies in $A^\sqcup$ if and only if it there exist module maps

$$\begin{aligned} &{}_B\omega \in ({}_BA)^\vee, && \omega_B \in (A_B)^\vee, && {}_C\omega \in ({}_CA)^\vee, && \omega_C \in (A_C)^\vee, \\ &{}^B\omega \in ({}^BA)^\vee, && \omega^B (A^B)^\vee, && {}^C\omega \in ({}^CA)^\vee, && \omega^C \in (A^C)^\vee \end{aligned}$$

such that the composition with μ_B or μ_C, respectively, gives ω again. In that case,

$${}^B\omega = S_C \circ \omega_C, \qquad \omega^B = S_C \circ {}_C\omega, \qquad {}^C\omega = S_B \circ \omega_B, \qquad \omega^C = S_B \circ {}_B\omega.$$

In the non-unital case, the space $A^\sqcup$ may be too large to carry a convolution product. Instead, the underlying vector space of the dual algebra will be the subspace

$$\hat{A} := A \cdot \phi = \phi \cdot A = A \cdot \psi = \psi \cdot A \subseteq A^\sqcup. \tag{3.1.3}$$

The four spaces in the middle are equal by Theorem 2.2.3 and Theorem 2.2.4, and the inclusion $\hat{A} \subseteq A^\sqcup$ can easily be seen using the factorizations $\phi = \mu_C \circ {}_C\phi_C$ and $\psi = \mu_B \circ {}_B\psi_B$.

By [22, Lemma 3.6], the formulas

$$xv := \upsilon \cdot t_B(x), \qquad \upsilon x := \upsilon \cdot x, \qquad y\upsilon := y \cdot \upsilon, \qquad \upsilon y := t_C(y) \cdot \upsilon, \tag{3.1.4}$$

where $\upsilon \in A^\sqcup$ and $x \in B$, $y \in C$, turn $A^\sqcup$ into a B-bimodule and a C-bimodule. As such, it is non-degenerate because $BA = AB = CA = AC = A$.

3.1.1. **Lemma.** *The subspace $\hat{A} \subseteq A^{\sqcup}$ is a C-sub-bimodule and a B-sub-bimodule. As such, it is idempotent and faithful. If $\mathcal{A}$ is locally projective, then also $\hat{A}$ is locally projective as a left and as a right module over B and over C, respectively.*

Proof. To see that $\hat{A}$ is a sub-bimodule, note that

$$\begin{aligned} y(a \cdot \phi) &= (ya) \cdot \phi, & (a \cdot \phi)y &= (t_C(y)a) \cdot \phi, \\ x(\phi \cdot a) &= \phi \cdot (at_B(x)), & (\phi \cdot a)x &= \phi \cdot (ax) \end{aligned} \tag{3.1.5}$$

for all $a \in A$, $x \in B$, $y \in C$. Idempotence of $\hat{A}$ follows easily from the relation $AB = BA = AC = CA = A$, and faithfulness of $\hat{A}$ from faithfulness of ϕ and ψ. To see that $\hat{A}$ is locally projective as a module, note that (3.1.5) allows us to identify $\hat{A}$, regarded as a left or right module over C or over B, respectively, with the locally projective modules ${}_CA$, A^C, ${}^BA$ and A_B, respectively. □

We write

$${}_B(A^{\sqcup}), \quad (A^{\sqcup})_B, \quad {}_C(A^{\sqcup}), \quad (A^{\sqcup})_C \quad \text{and} \quad {}_B\hat{A}, \quad \hat{A}_B, \quad {}_C\hat{A}, \quad \hat{A}_C$$

when we regard $A^{\sqcup}$ or $\hat{A}$, respectively, as a left or right module over B or over C as in (3.1.4). Using similar notation as for A, we also write

$$(A^{\sqcup})^B, \quad {}^B(A^{\sqcup}), \quad (A^{\sqcup})^C, \quad {}^C(A^{\sqcup}) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{A}^B, \quad {}^B\hat{A}, \quad \hat{A}^C, \quad {}^C\hat{A}$$

when we regard $A^{\sqcup}$ or $\hat{A}$, respectively, as a left or right module over B or C such that the product of an element $\omega \in A^{\sqcup}$ with $x \in B$ or $y \in C$ is

$$t_C^{-1}(x)\omega, \quad \omega t_C^{-1}(x), \quad t_B^{-1}(y)\omega, \quad \omega t_B^{-1}(y),$$

respectively.

3.2. **The dual algebra.** The spaces $A^{\sqcup}$ and $\hat{A}$ act by convolution on A as follows.

3.2.1. **Proposition** ([22, Proposition 6.1])**.** *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mu_B, \mu_C, {}_B\psi_B, {}_C\phi_C)$ be a measured regular multiplier Hopf algebroid and let $\omega \in A^{\sqcup}$ and $a \in A$. Then there exist unique multipliers $\omega \triangleright a \in M(A)$ and $a \triangleleft \omega \in M(A)$ such that for all $b \in A$,*

$$\begin{aligned} b(\omega \triangleright a) &:= (\iota \odot {}^B\omega)((b \otimes 1)\Delta_B(a)), & (\omega \triangleright a)b &:= (\iota \odot {}_C\omega)(\Delta_C(a)(b \otimes 1)), \\ b(a \triangleleft \omega) &:= (\omega_B \odot \iota)((1 \otimes b)\Delta_B(a)), & (a \triangleleft \omega)b &:= (\omega^C \odot \iota)(\Delta_C(a)(1 \otimes b)). \end{aligned}$$

The point here is to prove that for all $a, b, c \in A$ and $\omega \in A^{\sqcup}$,

$$b((\omega \triangleright a)c) = (b(\omega \triangleright a))c \quad \text{and} \quad b((a \triangleleft \omega)c) = (b(a \triangleleft \omega))c,$$

which can be done by help of the counit functional ε and mixed coassociativity.

3.2.2. **Lemma.** (1) *Let $\upsilon, \omega \in \hat{A}$ and $a \in A$. Then $\omega \triangleright a$ and $a \triangleleft \upsilon$ lie in A and*

$$\omega \triangleright (a \triangleleft \upsilon) = (\omega \triangleright a) \triangleleft \upsilon, \qquad \varepsilon(\omega \triangleright a) = \omega(a), \qquad \varepsilon(a \triangleleft \upsilon) = \upsilon(a). \tag{3.2.1}$$

(2) *For all $a, b \in A$,*

$$((\phi \cdot a) \triangleright b) = S((b \cdot \phi) \triangleright a) \quad \textit{and} \quad b \triangleleft (a \cdot \psi) = S(a \triangleleft (\psi \cdot b)). \tag{3.2.2}$$

(3) *For all* $\omega \in A^{\sqcup}$, $a \in A$, $x, x' \in B$, $y, y' \in C$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\omega \triangleright (yay') = y(\omega \triangleright a)y', \quad (xy\omega x'y') \triangleright a = x(\omega \triangleright (x'at_C(y')))t_C(y), \\ &(xax') \triangleleft \omega = x(a \triangleleft \omega)x', \quad a \triangleleft (xy\omega x'y') = t_B(x')((t_B(x)ay) \triangleleft \omega)y' \end{aligned} \tag{3.2.3}$$

(4) *For all* $\omega \in A^{\sqcup}$ *and* $a \in A$,

$$\omega \triangleright S(a) = S(a \triangleleft (\omega \circ S)), \qquad S(a) \triangleleft \omega = S((\omega \circ S) \triangleright a). \tag{3.2.4}$$

(5) *If* $(\mathcal{A}, \mu_B, \mu_C, {}_B\psi_B, {}_C\phi_C)$ *is a measured multiplier Hopf* $*$*-algebroid, then*

$$(\omega \triangleright a)^* = (* \circ \omega \circ *) \triangleright (a^*), \qquad (a \triangleleft \omega)^* = (a^*) \triangleleft (* \circ \omega \circ *). \tag{3.2.5}$$

Proof. The verification is straightforward; use the inclusions $\Delta_C(A)(A \otimes 1) \subseteq A^C \otimes {}_C A$ and $\Delta_C(A)(1 \otimes A) \subseteq A^C \otimes {}_C A$, coassociativity and the counit axioms for (1), (2.2.1) and (2.2.2) for (2), the relations (2.1.1) and (2.1.2) for (3), diagram (2.1.16) for (4) and Definition 2.1.5 (3) for (5). We therefore only carry out the proof of the second equation in (3.2.3) and leave the remaining assertions to the reader. By (2.3.4), the functional $\omega' = xy\omega x'y'$ satisfies

$${}_C\omega'(c) = {}_C\omega(x'ayt_C(y'))t_C^{-1}(x), \qquad \omega'_B(c) = t_B^{-1}(y')\omega_B(x't_B(x)cy)$$

for all $c \in A$, and hence, taking into account (2.1.1),

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega' \triangleright a)b &= (\iota \odot {}_C\omega')(\Delta_C(a)(b \otimes 1)) \\ &= (\iota \odot {}_C\omega)((x \otimes x')\Delta_C(a)(b \otimes yt_C(y'))) \\ &= x(\iota \odot {}_C\omega)(\Delta_C(x'at_C(y'))(t_C(y)b)) = x(\omega \triangleright (x'at_C(y')))t_C(y)b \end{aligned}$$

for all $b \in A$. The other equations in (3.2.3) follows similarly. □

The space $\hat{A}$ becomes an algebra as follows.

3.2.3. **Theorem** ([22, Theorem 6.3])**.** *Let* $(\mathcal{A}, \mu_B, \mu_C, {}_B\psi_B, {}_C\phi_C)$ *be a measured regular multiplier Hopf algebroid. Then:*

(1) *The space* $\hat{A}$ *is a non-degenerate, idempotent algebra with respect to the multiplication defined by* $\upsilon\omega := \upsilon \circ (\omega \triangleright -) = \omega \circ (- \triangleleft \upsilon)$.
(2) *The space* A *is a non-degenerate, idempotent and faithful* $\hat{A}$*-bimodule with respect to the left and right multiplication given by* $\omega \otimes a \mapsto \omega \triangleright a$ *and* $a \otimes \omega \mapsto a \triangleleft \omega$.
(3) *The space* $A^{\sqcup}$ *is a non-degenerate and faithful* $\hat{A}$*-bimodule with respect to the left and right multiplication given by* $\omega \otimes \upsilon \mapsto \upsilon(- \triangleleft \omega)$ *and* $\upsilon \otimes \omega \mapsto \upsilon(\omega \triangleright -)$.

Given the preparations above, the proof is straightforward. For example, to see that $\upsilon\omega \in \hat{A}$ whenever $\upsilon, \omega \in \hat{A}$, write $\omega = b \cdot \phi$ with $b \in A$ and use Lemma 3.2.2 (1) and (2) to see that

$$(\upsilon\omega)(a) = \upsilon((b \cdot \phi) \triangleright a) = \upsilon S^{-1}((\phi \cdot a) \triangleright b) = \phi(a(b \triangleleft \upsilon S^{-1}))$$

for all $a \in A$, whence, by Lemma 3.2.2 (4),

$$\upsilon(b \cdot \phi) = c \cdot \phi, \quad \text{where } c = (b \triangleleft \upsilon S^{-1}) = S(\upsilon \triangleright S^{-1}(b)). \tag{3.2.6}$$

A similar argument shows that

$$(\psi \cdot b)\upsilon = \psi \cdot c, \quad \text{where } c = \upsilon S^{-1} \triangleright b. \tag{3.2.7}$$

The multiplier algebra $M(\hat{A})$ can be identified with a subspace of $A^{\sqcup}$ similarly as in the case of multiplier Hopf algebras [12] as follows. Since A is idempotent and non-degenerate as an $\hat{A}$-bimodule, we can regard it as an $M(\hat{A})$-bimodule such that $T \triangleright (\omega \triangleright a) = (T\omega \triangleright a)$ and $(a \triangleleft \omega) \triangleleft T = a \triangleleft (\omega T)$ for all $a \in A$, $\omega \in \hat{A}$ and $T \in M(\hat{A})$.

3.2.4. **Proposition.** *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mu_B, \mu_C, {}_B\psi_B, {}_C\phi_C)$ be a measured regular multiplier Hopf algebroid with dual algebra $\hat{A}$. Then every element of*

$$A_0^{\sqcup} := \{\upsilon \in A^{\sqcup} : \upsilon \triangleright a \in A \text{ and } a \triangleleft \upsilon \in A \text{ for all } a \in A\}$$

determines a multiplier of $\hat{A}$ and the map $A_0^{\sqcup} \to M(\hat{A})$ is a linear isomorphism.

Proof. Let $\upsilon \in A_0^{\sqcup}$, $\omega \in \hat{A}$, and write $\omega = b \cdot \phi$ with $b \in A$. Then the same calculation that lead to (3.2.6) shows that the product $\upsilon\omega \in A^{\sqcup}$ is equal to $c \cdot \phi \in \hat{A}$ with $c = S(\upsilon \triangleright S^{-1}(b)) \in A$. A similar argument shows that $\omega\upsilon \in \hat{A}$. The resulting map $A_0^{\sqcup} \to M(\hat{A})$ is well-defined and injective by Theorem 3.2.3 (3), so we only need to show that this map is surjective. Let $T \in M(\hat{A})$ and define $\upsilon, \upsilon' \colon A \to \mathbb{C}$ by $\upsilon(a) := \varepsilon(T \triangleright a)$ and $\upsilon'(a) := \varepsilon(a \triangleleft T)$ for all $a \in A$. Then $\upsilon = \upsilon'$ because

$$\upsilon(\omega \triangleright a) = \varepsilon(T\omega \triangleright a) = (T\omega)(a) = \varepsilon(a \triangleleft T\omega) = \omega(a \triangleleft T) = \varepsilon(\omega \triangleright a \triangleleft T) = \upsilon'(\omega \triangleright a)$$

for all $\omega \in \hat{A}$ and $a \in A$ by Lemma 3.2.2 (1). The relations $\varepsilon \in A^{\sqcup}$ and (3.2.3) imply $\upsilon \in A^{\sqcup}$. Finally, the calculation above and the definition of the $\hat{A}$-bimodule structure of $A^{\sqcup}$ imply $(\upsilon\omega)(a) = \upsilon(\omega \triangleright a) = (T\omega)(a)$ for all $\omega \in \hat{A}$ and $a \in A$ so that $\upsilon\omega = T\omega$. □

The multiplication on $\hat{A}$ is compatible with the bimodule structures considered above:

3.2.5. **Lemma.** *For all $\omega \in \hat{A}$, $\upsilon \in A^{\sqcup}$, $x \in B$ and $y \in C$,*

$$(\omega x)\upsilon = \omega(x\upsilon), \quad (\upsilon x)\omega = \upsilon(x\omega), \quad (\omega y)\upsilon = \omega(y\upsilon), \quad (\upsilon y)\omega = \upsilon(y\omega) \tag{3.2.8}$$

In particular, we obtain embeddings of B and C into $M(\hat{A})$, and the images commute.

Proof. The relations (3.2.8) follow easily from (3.2.3), for example,

$$\begin{aligned}((\omega x)\upsilon)(a) &= \upsilon(a \triangleleft \omega x) = \upsilon(t_B(x)(a \triangleright \omega)) = (\omega(x\upsilon))(a),\\ ((\upsilon x)\omega)(a) &= (\upsilon x)(\omega \triangleright a) = \upsilon(x(\omega \triangleright a)) = \upsilon((x\omega) \triangleright a) = (\upsilon(x\omega))(a)\end{aligned}$$

for all $\upsilon \in A^{\sqcup}$, $\omega \in \hat{A}$, $a \in A$ and $x \in B$. □

Let us next consider the involutive case.

3.2.6. **Proposition.** *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mu_B, \mu_C, {}_B\psi_B, {}_C\phi_C)$ be a measured multiplier Hopf $*$-algebroid. Then $\hat{A}$ is a $*$-algebra with respect to the involution given by*

$$\omega^*(a) := \omega(S(a)^*)^*$$

for all $\omega \in \hat{A}$ and $a \in A$, and the embeddings of B and C into $M(\hat{A})$ become involutive.

Proof. Let $\omega, \upsilon \in \hat{A}$. Write $\omega = a \cdot \phi$. Then $(a \cdot \phi)^*(b) = \phi(a^* S(b)) = (\phi \circ S)(bS^{-1}(a^*)) = \phi(bS(a)^*\delta^+) = (S(a)^*\delta^+ \cdot \phi)(b)$ for all $b \in A$, where $\delta^+ \in M(A)$ is as in Theorem 2.2.4,

and hence $\omega^* \in \hat{A}$. Relation (2.1.19) implies that $(\omega^*)^* = \omega$. To see that $(\upsilon^*\omega^*) = \omega^*\upsilon^*$, note that for all $a \in A$,

$$\omega^* \triangleright S(a)^* = (* \circ \omega \circ * \circ S) \triangleright S(a)^* = S(a \triangleleft \omega)^*, \qquad S(a)^* \triangleleft \upsilon^* = S(\upsilon \triangleright a)^*$$

by (3.2.4) and (3.2.5), and hence $\omega^*\upsilon^* \triangleright S(a)^* = S(a \triangleleft \upsilon\omega)^* = (\upsilon\omega)^* \triangleright S(a)^*$. Finally,

$$(\omega^* x^*)(a) = (\omega^*)(ax^*) = \omega(S(x^*)^* S(a)^*) = \omega(S^{-1}(x)S(a)^*) = (x\omega)^*(a),$$

whence $\omega^* x^* = (x\omega)^*$ for all $x \in B$, and similarly $y^*\omega^* = (\omega y)^*$ for all $y \in C$. □

By [22, Lemma 6.2], we can also define an evaluation map $T^\vee \in (\hat{A})^\vee$ for every $T \in M(A)$ such that for all $a \in A$,

$$T^\vee(a \cdot \phi) = \phi(Ta), \quad T^\vee(\phi \cdot a) = \phi(aT), \quad T^\vee(a \cdot \psi) = \psi(Ta), \quad T^\vee(\psi \cdot a) = \psi(aT).$$

We show that this functional lies in

$$\hat{A}^\sqcup := ({}_C\hat{A}_C) \cap ({}_B\hat{A}_B).$$

3.2.7. **Lemma.** *Let $T \in M(A)$. Then the functional $T^\vee$ lies in $(\hat{A})^\sqcup$, and for all $a \in A$,*

$$({}_C T^\vee)(\phi \cdot a) = \sigma_C({}_C\phi_C(aT)), \qquad (T^\vee_C)(\psi \cdot a) = t_B({}_B\psi_B(aT)),$$
$$({}_B T^\vee)(a \cdot \phi) = t_C({}_C\phi_C(Ta)), \qquad (T^\vee_B)(a \cdot \psi) = \sigma_B^{-1}({}_B\psi_B(Ta)).$$

Proof. The functional $T^\vee$ lies in $({}_C\hat{A}_C)^\sqcup$ and the first two equations hold because

$$T^\vee(y(\phi \cdot a)) = \phi(aTy) = \mu_C({}_C\phi_C(aT)y) = \mu_C(y\sigma_C({}_C\phi_C(aT))),$$
$$T^\vee((\psi \cdot a)y) = \psi(aTt_C(y))$$
$$= \mu_B({}_B\psi_B(aT)t_C(y)) = \mu_B(t_B^{-1}(y){}_B\psi_B(aT)) = \mu_C(t_B({}_B\psi_B(aT))y)$$

for all $y \in C$ and $a \in A$. The remaining assertions follow similarly. □

3.3. **The dual comultiplications.** From now on, we suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is locally projective. Consider the pairings

$$(A^\sqcup \otimes A^\sqcup) \times (A^C \otimes_C A) \to \mathbb{C}, \quad (\upsilon \otimes \omega | a \otimes b) = \upsilon(t_C({}_C\omega(b))a) = \omega(\upsilon^C(a)b), \quad (3.3.1)$$
$$(A^\sqcup \otimes A^\sqcup) \times (A_C \otimes_C A) \to \mathbb{C}, \quad (\upsilon \otimes \omega | a \otimes b) = \upsilon(a_C\omega(b)) = \omega(\upsilon_C(a)b), \quad (3.3.2)$$
$$(A^\sqcup \otimes A^\sqcup) \times (A_B \otimes {}^B A) \to \mathbb{C}, \quad (\upsilon \otimes \omega | a \otimes b) = \upsilon(a^B\omega(b)) = \omega(bt_B(\upsilon_B(a))), \quad (3.3.3)$$
$$(A^\sqcup \otimes A^\sqcup) \times (A_B \otimes {}_B A) \to \mathbb{C}, \quad (\upsilon \otimes \omega | a \otimes b) = \upsilon(a_B\omega(b)) = \omega(\upsilon_B(a)b). \quad (3.3.4)$$

Using tensor products of factorizable functionals (2.3.3), they take the simple form

$$(\upsilon \otimes \omega | a \otimes b) := (\upsilon \underset{\mu_C}{\otimes} \omega)(a \otimes b) \quad \text{or} \quad (\upsilon \otimes \omega | a \otimes b) := (\upsilon \underset{\mu_B}{\otimes} \omega)(a \otimes b), \quad (3.3.5)$$

respectively. By Lemma 3.2.7, we can also regard elements of A as factorizable functionals on $\hat{A}$ and hence define pairings between $A \otimes A$ on one side and $\hat{A}_B \otimes_B \hat{A}$, $\hat{A}^B \otimes_B \hat{A}$, $\hat{A}_C \otimes_C \hat{A}$ and $\hat{A}_C \otimes_{\hat{C}} \hat{A}$ on the other side.

3.3.1. **Lemma.** *The formulas in* (3.3.1)–(3.3.4) *define non-degenerate pairings*

$$\hat{A}_B \otimes_B \hat{A} \times A^C \otimes_C A \to \mathbb{C}, \qquad \hat{A}_C \otimes_C \hat{A} \times A_B \otimes {}^B A \to \mathbb{C},$$
$$\hat{A}^B \otimes_B \hat{A} \times A_C \otimes_C A \to \mathbb{C}, \qquad \hat{A}_C \otimes {}^C \hat{A} \times A_B \otimes_B A \to \mathbb{C}$$

such that for all $a, b \in A$ *and* $\upsilon, \omega \in \hat{A}$,

$$(\upsilon \otimes \omega | a \otimes b) = (a^{\vee} \underset{\mu_B}{\otimes} b^{\vee})(\upsilon \otimes \omega) \quad or \quad (\upsilon \otimes \omega | a \otimes b) = (a^{\vee} \underset{\mu_C}{\otimes} b^{\vee})(\upsilon \otimes \omega), \qquad (3.3.6)$$

respectively.

Proof. To see that the pairings factorize as claimed, we only need to prove (3.3.6). We do so for the first of the four cases; the remaining three cases are similar:

$$\begin{aligned}(a^{\vee} \underset{\mu_B}{\otimes} b^{\vee})(\upsilon \otimes \omega) = \mu_B(a_B^{\vee}(\upsilon) \cdot {}_B b^{\vee}(\omega)) &= \mu_B(\sigma_B^{-1}({}_B\upsilon(a)) t_C({}_C\omega(b))) \\ &= \mu_B(t_C({}_C\omega(b)) {}_B\upsilon(a)) = \upsilon(t_C({}_C\omega(b))a)\end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 3.2.7 and (3.1.4). To see that the pairings are non-degenerate, use the fact that elements of $\hat{A}$ separate the points of A, elements of A separate the points of $\hat{A}$, relation (3.3.6) and the fact that all modules involved are locally projective by assumption on $\mathcal{A}$ and Lemma 3.1.1. □

With the pairings obtained above, we embed various balanced tensor products of $\hat{A}$ dual spaces of certain balanced tensor products of A, and show that the duals of the canonical maps $T_\lambda, T_\rho, {}_\lambda T, {}_\rho T$ restrict to the balanced tensor products of $\hat{A}$.

Denote by $\mathrm{End}(A)$ the space of all linear endomorphisms of A.

3.3.2. **Lemma.** *The four subspaces of* $\mathrm{End}(A)$ *spanned by all maps of the form*

$$a \mapsto b(a \triangleleft \upsilon), \quad a \mapsto b(\upsilon \triangleright a), \quad a \mapsto (\upsilon \triangleright a)b \quad or\ a \mapsto (a \triangleleft \upsilon)b \quad with\ b \in A, \upsilon \in \hat{A},$$

respectively, coincide with the subspaces spanned by all maps of the form

$$a \mapsto b\upsilon_B(a), \quad a \mapsto b\upsilon_C(a), \quad a \mapsto {}_C\upsilon(a)b \quad or\ a \mapsto {}_B\upsilon(a)b \quad with\ b \in A, \upsilon \in \hat{A},$$

respectively.

Proof. We only show that maps of the form $a \mapsto b\upsilon_B(a)$ and $a \mapsto b(a \triangleleft \upsilon)$ span the same subspace of $\mathrm{End}(A)$; the remaining assertions follow similarly. Let $b, c \in A$ and write $(1 \otimes c)\Delta_B(b) = \sum_i b_i \otimes c_i$. Then by right-invariance of ${}_B\psi_B$,

$$\begin{aligned}c\,{}_B\psi_B(ba) &= ({}_B\psi_B \odot \iota)((1 \otimes c)\Delta_B(ba)) \\ &= \sum_i ({}_B\psi_B \odot \iota)((b_i \otimes c_i)\Delta_B(a)) = \sum_i c_i(a \triangleleft (\psi \cdot b_i)).\end{aligned}$$

Since the map ${}_\rho T$ is bijective and $\hat{A} = \psi \cdot A$, the assertion follows. □

3.3.3. **Proposition.** *Let* $(\mathcal{A}, \mu_B, \mu_C, {}_B\psi_B, {}_C\phi_C)$ *be a measured regular multiplier Hopf algebroid, where* $\mathcal{A}$ *is locally projective. Then there exist unique linear bijections* $\hat{T}_\rho$, $\hat{T}_\lambda$,

${}_\lambda\hat{T}$, ${}_\rho\hat{T}$ that make the following diagrams commute,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{A}_C \otimes_C \hat{A} & \xrightarrow{\hat{T}_\rho} & \hat{A}^B \otimes_B \hat{A} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (A_B \otimes^B A)^\vee & \xrightarrow{({}_\lambda T)^\vee} & (A_C \otimes_C A)^\vee \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccccc} \hat{A}_B \otimes_B \hat{A} & \xrightarrow{\Sigma} & \hat{A}^C \otimes^C \hat{A} & \xrightarrow{\hat{T}_\lambda} & \hat{A}^B \otimes_B \hat{A} \\ \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\ (A^C \otimes_C A)^\vee & & \xrightarrow{(T_\lambda)^\vee} & & (A_C \otimes_C A)^\vee \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{A}_B \otimes_B \hat{A} & \xrightarrow{{}_\lambda\hat{T}} & \hat{A}_C \otimes^C \hat{A} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (A^C \otimes_C A)^\vee & \xrightarrow{(T_\rho)^\vee} & (A_B \otimes_B A)^\vee \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccccc} \hat{A}_C \otimes_C \hat{A} & \xrightarrow{\Sigma} & \hat{A}^B \otimes^B \hat{A} & \xrightarrow{{}_\rho\hat{T}} & \hat{A}_C \otimes^C \hat{A} \\ \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\ (A_B \otimes^B A)^\vee & & \xrightarrow{({}_\rho T)^\vee} & & (A_B \otimes_B A)^\vee \end{array}$$

that is, such that for all $a, b \in A$ and $\upsilon, \omega \in \hat{A}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{T}_\lambda(\upsilon \otimes \omega)|a \otimes b) &= (\omega \otimes \upsilon|T_\lambda(b \otimes a)) = \omega((\upsilon \triangleright a)b), \\ (\hat{T}_\rho(\upsilon \otimes \omega)|a \otimes b) &= (\upsilon \otimes \omega|{}_\lambda T(a \otimes b)) = \upsilon(a(\omega \triangleright b)), \\ ({}_\lambda\hat{T}(\upsilon \otimes \omega)|a \otimes b) &= (\upsilon \otimes \omega|T_\rho(a \otimes b)) = \omega((a \triangleleft \upsilon)b), \\ ({}_\rho\hat{T}(\upsilon \otimes \omega)|a \otimes b) &= (\omega \otimes \upsilon|{}_\rho T(b \otimes a)) = \upsilon(b(a \triangleleft \omega)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Uniqueness is clear. Let us prove existence of ${}_\lambda\hat{T}$; the other maps can be treated similarly. Choose $\upsilon, \omega \in \hat{A}$ and $a, b \in A$. Then by (3.3.1),

$$(\upsilon \otimes \omega|T_\rho(a \otimes b)) = \omega((\upsilon^C \odot \iota)(\Delta_C(a)(1 \otimes b))) = \omega((a \triangleleft \upsilon)b).$$

We write ω in the form $\psi \cdot c$, use Lemma 3.3.2, and find $c_i \in A$ and $\upsilon_i \in \hat{A}$ such that the expression above becomes equal to

$$\sum_i \psi(c_i(\upsilon_i)_B(a)b) = \sum_i ((\psi \cdot c_i) \otimes \upsilon_i|a \otimes b)$$

for all $a, b \in A$, where we used (3.3.4). □

3.3.4. **Remark.** Later, we need the following relation. For all $\upsilon, \omega \in \hat{A}$ and $b \in A$,

$$(\iota \odot_B b^\vee)(\hat{T}_\rho(\upsilon \otimes \omega)))(b) = \upsilon(-(\omega \triangleright b)),$$

because $(\iota \odot_B b^\vee)(\hat{T}_\rho(\upsilon \otimes \omega))(a) = (a^\vee \underset{\mu_B}{\otimes} b^\vee)(\hat{T}_\rho(\upsilon \otimes \omega)) = \upsilon(a(\omega \triangleright b))$ for all $a \in A$.

The last missing ingredient for our first main theorem is following result.

3.3.5. **Lemma.** *Write $\hat{T}_\rho(\upsilon \otimes \omega) = \sum_i \upsilon_i \otimes \omega_i$. Then for all $b, c \in A$,*

$$\sum_i (\upsilon_i \triangleright b)(\omega_i \triangleright c) = \upsilon \triangleright (b(\omega \triangleright c)).$$

Proof. Let $a \in A$ and $u \in \hat{A}$. Then the pentagon relation for ${}_\lambda T$ [23, Proposition 2.8] implies

$$\begin{aligned} u(a(\upsilon \triangleright (b(\omega \triangleright c)))) &= (u \underset{\mu_B}{\otimes} \upsilon \underset{\mu_B}{\otimes} \omega)(({}_\lambda T)_{12}({}_\lambda T)_{23}(a \otimes b \otimes c)) \\ &= (u \underset{\mu_B}{\otimes} \upsilon \underset{\mu_B}{\otimes} \omega)(({}_\lambda T)_{23}({}_\lambda T)_{13}({}_\lambda T)_{12}(a \otimes b \otimes c)) \\ &= \sum_i (u \underset{\mu_B}{\otimes} \upsilon_i \underset{\mu_B}{\otimes} \omega_i)(({}_\lambda T)_{13}({}_\lambda T)_{12}(a \otimes b \otimes c)) \\ &= \sum_i u(a(\upsilon_i \triangleright b)(\omega_i \triangleright c)). \end{aligned}$$

□

Define the extended Takeuchi products

$$\hat{A}_C \overline{\times}^C \hat{A} \subseteq \mathrm{End}(\hat{A}_C \otimes {}^C\hat{A})^{\mathrm{op}} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{A}^B \overline{\times}_B \hat{A} \subseteq \mathrm{End}(\hat{A}^B \otimes {}_B\hat{A})$$

similarly as in Subsection 2.1.

3.3.6. **Theorem.** *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mu_B, \mu_C, {}_B\psi_B, {}_C\phi_C)$ be a measured regular multiplier Hopf algebroid, where $\mathcal{A}$ is locally projective. Then there exist unique maps*

$$\hat{\Delta}_C \colon \hat{A} \to \hat{A}_C \overline{\times}^C \hat{A} \quad \textit{and} \quad \hat{\Delta}_B \colon \hat{A} \to \hat{A}^B \overline{\times}_B \hat{A}$$

such that for all $\upsilon, \omega \in \hat{A}$ and $a, b \in A$

$$(\hat{\Delta}_B(\upsilon)(1 \otimes \omega) | a \otimes b) = (\upsilon \otimes \omega | (a \otimes 1)\Delta_B(b)), \tag{3.3.7}$$

$$((\upsilon \otimes 1)\hat{\Delta}_C(\omega) | a \otimes b) = (\upsilon \otimes \omega | \Delta_C(a)(1 \otimes b)), \tag{3.3.8}$$

and the tuple $\hat{\mathcal{A}} := (\hat{A}, C, B, t_B^{-1}, t_C^{-1}, \hat{\Delta}_C, \hat{\Delta}_B)$ is a regular multiplier Hopf algebroid which is locally projective.

We call $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ the *dual regular multiplier Hopf algebroid* of $(\mathcal{A}, \mu_B, \mu_C, {}_B\psi_B, {}_C\phi_C)$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1.1, $\hat{A}$ is locally projective.

In the following, we use the formulas given in Proposition 3.3.3 without further notice.

To prove existence of a linear map $\hat{\Delta}_B$ satisfying (3.3.7), it suffices to show that

$$\hat{T}_\rho(\upsilon \otimes \omega)(u \otimes 1) = \hat{T}_\lambda(u \otimes \upsilon)(1 \otimes \omega)$$

for all $u, \upsilon, \omega \in \hat{A}$. To prove this relation, note that for all $u', \upsilon', \omega' \in \hat{A}$ and elementary tensors $a \otimes b \in A_C \otimes {}_C A$,

$$(\upsilon' u \underset{\mu_C}{\otimes} \omega' | a \otimes b) = (\upsilon' u)(a_C \omega'(b)) = \upsilon'((u \triangleright a)_C \omega'(b)) = (\upsilon' \underset{\mu_C}{\otimes} \omega' | (u \triangleright a) \otimes b)$$

and similarly for all $a \otimes b \in A_B \otimes {}_B A$,

$$(u' \underset{\mu_C}{\otimes} \upsilon' \omega | a \otimes b) = (u' \underset{\mu_C}{\otimes} \upsilon' | a \otimes (\omega \triangleright b)),$$

whence for all $a, b \in A$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{T}_\rho(\upsilon \otimes \omega)(u \otimes 1) | a \otimes b) &= (\hat{T}_\rho(\upsilon \otimes \omega) | (u \triangleright a) \otimes b) \\ &= \upsilon((u \triangleright a)(\omega \triangleright b)) \\ &= (\hat{T}_\lambda(u \otimes \upsilon) | a \otimes (\omega \triangleright b)) = (\hat{T}_\lambda(u \otimes \upsilon)(1 \otimes \omega) | a \otimes b). \end{aligned} \tag{3.3.9}$$

To see that the map $\hat{\Delta}_B$ is a homomorphism, write

$$\hat{\Delta}_B(v)(1 \otimes \omega) = \sum_i v_i \otimes \omega_i.$$

Then (3.3.9) and Lemma 3.3.5 imply

$$\begin{aligned}(\hat{\Delta}_B(u)\hat{\Delta}_B(v)(1 \otimes \omega)|b \otimes c) &= \sum_i u((v_i \triangleright b)(\omega_i \triangleright c)) \\ &= u(v \triangleright (b(\omega \triangleright c))) \\ &= (uv)(b(\omega \triangleright c)) = (\hat{\Delta}_B(uv)(1 \otimes \omega)|b \otimes c).\end{aligned}$$

Similar arguments prove existence of a homomorphism $\hat{\Delta}_C$ satisfying (3.3.8).

We claim that $\hat{\Delta}_B(yvx) = (x \otimes 1)\hat{\Delta}_B(v)(1 \otimes y)$ for all $x \in B$, $y \in C$ and $v \in \hat{A}$. Fix $\omega \in \hat{A}$, $a, b \in A$ and write $\hat{\Delta}_B(v)(1 \otimes \omega) = \sum_i v_i \otimes \omega_i$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}(\hat{\Delta}_B(yvx)(1 \otimes \omega)|a \otimes b) &= v(xa(\omega \triangleright b)y) \\ &= v(xa(\omega \triangleright by)) = (\hat{\Delta}_B(v)(1 \otimes \omega)|xa \otimes by)\end{aligned}$$

by (3.2.3) and (3.1.4), and

$$\sum_i (v_i x \otimes y\omega_i|a \otimes b) = \sum_i v_i(xa_C\omega_i(by)) = \sum_i (v_i \otimes \omega_i|xa \otimes by)$$

by (3.3.2). The claim follows.

A similar argument shows that $\hat{\Delta}_C(yvx) = (x \otimes 1)\hat{\Delta}_C(v)(1 \otimes y)$ for all $x \in B$, $y \in C$ and $v \in \hat{A}$.

Next, we prove coassociativity of $\hat{\Delta}_B$, which means that for all $u, v, \omega \in \hat{A}$,

$$(\hat{\Delta}_B \otimes \iota)(\hat{\Delta}_B(v)(1 \otimes \omega))(u \otimes 1 \otimes 1) = (\iota \otimes \hat{\Delta}_B)(\hat{\Delta}_B(v)(u \otimes 1))(1 \otimes 1 \otimes w).$$

Since $\hat{A}$ is locally projective as a module over B and C, it suffices to show that this relation holds application of a slice map of the form $(a^\vee)^B \odot \iota \odot {}_B c^\vee$, or, equivalently, that the maps

$$v \mapsto ((a^\vee)^B \odot \iota)(\hat{\Delta}_B(v)(u \otimes 1)) \quad \text{and} \quad v \mapsto (\iota \odot {}_B c^\vee)(\hat{\Delta}_B(v)(1 \otimes \omega))$$

commute. But (3.3.9) shows that these maps are given by $v \mapsto v((u \triangleright a)-)$ and $v \mapsto v(-(\omega \triangleright c))$, respectively. Hence, Δ_B is coassociative.

Similar arguments prove coassociativity of $\hat{\Delta}_C$ and mixed coassociativity.

Finally, consider the subspaces

$$\begin{aligned}{}_C\hat{I} &= \langle {}_C f(\omega) : f \in ({}_C\hat{A})^\vee, \omega \in \hat{A}\rangle, & \hat{I}^C &= \langle f^C(\omega) : f \in (\hat{A}^C)^\vee, \omega \in \hat{A}\rangle, \\ \hat{I}_B &= \langle f_B(\omega) : f \in (\hat{A}_B)^\vee, \omega \in \hat{A}\rangle, & {}^B\hat{I} &= \langle {}^B f(\omega) : f \in ({}^B\hat{A})^\vee, \omega \in \hat{A}\rangle\end{aligned}$$

of C and B, respectively. Using Lemma 3.2.7 and surjectivity of the maps ${}_C\phi_C$ and ${}_B\psi_B$, we see that ${}_C\hat{I} = C = \hat{I}^C$ and $\hat{I}_B = {}^B\hat{I}$.

Since the canonical maps $\hat{T}_\lambda, \hat{T}_\rho, {}_\lambda\hat{T}, {}_\rho\hat{T}$ are bijective, we can conclude that $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ is a regular multiplier Hopf algebroid as claimed. □

Let us next consider the involutive case.

3.3.7. **Theorem.** *If $(\mathcal{A}, \mu_B, \mu_C, {}_B\psi_B, {}_C\phi_C)$ is a measured multiplier Hopf $*$-algebroid, then the dual $\hat{\mathcal{A}} = (\hat{A}, C, \underline{B}, t_B^{-1}, t_C^{-1}, \hat{\Delta}_C, \hat{\Delta}_B)$ is a multiplier Hopf $*$-algebroid with involution given by $\omega^*(a) := \overline{\omega(S(a)^*)}$ for all $\omega \in \hat{A}$ and $a \in A$.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.2.6, the formula above defines an involution on $\hat{A}$, and it suffices to show that $(* \otimes *) \circ \hat{T}_\rho \circ (* \otimes *) = {}_\rho\hat{T}$. Let $\upsilon \otimes \omega \in \hat{A}_C \otimes {}_C\hat{A}$ and $a \otimes b \in A_B \otimes {}^BA$. Then by definition of the involution and of the maps $\hat{T}_\rho$ and ${}_\rho\hat{T}$,

$$(((* \otimes *) \circ \hat{T}_\rho \circ (* \otimes *))\Sigma(\upsilon \otimes \omega)|a \otimes b)$$

is equal to

$$(\upsilon \otimes \omega|((* \otimes *) \circ (S \otimes S) \circ \Sigma \circ {}_\lambda T \circ (S^{-1} \otimes S^{-1}) \circ (* \otimes *))(a \otimes b)).$$

But $\circ(S\otimes S)\circ\Sigma\circ{}_\lambda T\circ(S^{-1}\otimes S^{-1}) = T_\rho\circ\Sigma$ by (2.1.16), and $(*\otimes*)\circ T_\rho\circ\Sigma\circ(*\otimes*) = {}_\rho T\circ\Sigma$ by Definition 2.1.5 (3). Therefore, the expression above is equal to

$$(\upsilon \otimes \omega|({}_\rho T\Sigma)(a \otimes b)) = (({}_\rho\hat{T}\Sigma)(\upsilon \otimes \omega)|a \otimes b). \qquad \square$$

Next, we determine the counit and antipode of the dual $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$.

3.3.8. **Theorem.** *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mu_B, \mu_C, {}_B\psi_B, {}_C\phi_C)$ be a measured regular multiplier Hopf algebroid, where $\mathcal{A}$ is locally projective. Then the antipode $\hat{S}$ and left and right counits ${}_B\hat{\varepsilon}$ and $\hat{\varepsilon}_C$ of the dual regular multiplier Hopf algebroid $(\hat{A}, C, B, t_B^{-1}, t_C^{-1}, \hat{\Delta}_C, \hat{\Delta}_B)$ are given by*

$$\hat{S}(\omega) = \omega \circ S, \qquad {}_B\hat{\varepsilon}(a \cdot \phi) = t_C({}_C\phi_C(a)), \qquad \hat{\varepsilon}_C(\psi \cdot a) = t_B({}_B\psi_B(a))$$

for all $\omega \in \hat{A}$ and $a \in A$. In particular, the functionals $\mu_B \circ {}_B\hat{\varepsilon}$ and $\mu_C \circ \hat{\varepsilon}_C$ are equal and coincide with evaluation at $1 \in M(A)$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.2.7, evaluation at $1 \in M(A)$ defines a functional $\hat{\varepsilon} \in (\hat{A})^{\sqcup}$ such that ${}_B\hat{\varepsilon}(a \cdot \phi) = t_C({}_C\phi_C(a))$, $\hat{\varepsilon}_C(\psi \cdot a) = t_B({}_B\psi_B(a))$ and $t_C({}_C\hat{\varepsilon}(a \cdot \phi)) = t_C \circ \sigma_C({}_C\phi_C(a)) = {}_B\hat{\varepsilon}(a \cdot \phi)$ for all $a \in A$. The map ${}_B\hat{\varepsilon}$ is the left counit for $\hat{A}$ because by Remark 3.3.4,

$$\begin{aligned}((t_C \circ {}_C\hat{\varepsilon} \odot \iota)(\hat{T}_\rho(\upsilon \otimes \omega)))(b) &= (\hat{\varepsilon} \underset{\mu_B}{\otimes} b^\vee)(\hat{T}_\rho(\upsilon \otimes \omega)) \\ &= \hat{\varepsilon}(\upsilon(-(\omega \triangleright b))) = \upsilon(\omega \triangleright b) = (\upsilon\omega)(b)\end{aligned}$$

for all $\upsilon, \omega \in \hat{A}$ and $b \in A$ and hence $(t_C \circ {}_C\hat{\varepsilon} \odot \iota)(\hat{T}_\rho(\upsilon \otimes \omega)) = \upsilon\omega$. A similar argument shows that $\hat{\varepsilon}_B$ is the right counit of $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$.

To prove the formula for the antipode, we use [23, Proposition 5.8] to $\mathcal{A}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$, and find that the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_B \otimes {}_BA & \xrightarrow{{}_\rho T\Sigma} & A_B \otimes {}^BA \\ {\scriptstyle T_\rho}\downarrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle {}_\lambda T} \\ A^C \otimes {}_CA & \xrightarrow{S\otimes\iota} & A_C \otimes {}_CA \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \hat{A}_B \otimes {}_B\hat{A} & \xrightarrow{{}_\rho\hat{T}\Sigma} & \hat{A}_C \otimes {}^C\hat{A} \\ {\scriptstyle \hat{T}_\rho}\downarrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle {}_\lambda\hat{T}} \\ \hat{A}^B \otimes {}_B\hat{A} & \xrightarrow{\hat{S}\otimes\iota} & \hat{A}_C \otimes {}_C\hat{A} \end{array}$$

But by construction of the maps $\hat{T}_\rho$, ${}_\lambda\hat{T}$ and ${}_\rho\hat{T}$, commutativity of the first diagram also implies commutativity of the second diagram with $\hat{S}$ replaced by the transpose of S, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}(\hat{S}(\upsilon)\otimes\omega|a\otimes b) &= (({}_\lambda\hat{T})^{-1}({}_\rho\hat{T}\Sigma)(\hat{T}_\rho)^{-1}(\upsilon\otimes\omega)|a\otimes b)\\ &= (\upsilon\otimes\omega|({}_\lambda T)^{-1}({}_\rho T\Sigma)(T_\rho)^{-1}(a\otimes b)) = (\upsilon\otimes\omega|S(a)\otimes b)\end{aligned}$$

for all $a,b\in A$ and $\upsilon,\omega\in\hat{A}$, whence $(\hat{S}(\upsilon))(a)=\upsilon(S(a))$ for all $\upsilon\in\hat{A}$ and $a\in A$. □

3.4. **The full duality and biduality.** To obtain a full duality and biduality of measured regular multiplier Hopf algebroids, it remains to construct total integrals on the dual. This can again be done in a similar way as in the case of multiplier Hopf algebras, see [25].

3.4.1. **Theorem.** *Let $(\mathcal{A},\mu_B,\mu_C,{}_B\psi_B,{}_C\phi_C)$ be a measured regular multiplier Hopf algebroid, where $\mathcal{A}$ is locally projective, with dual regular multiplier Hopf algebroid $\hat{\mathcal{A}}=(\hat{A},C,B,t_B^{-1},t_C^{-1},\hat{\Delta}_C,\hat{\Delta}_B)$ and define ${}_C\hat{\psi}_C\colon\hat{A}\to C$ and ${}_B\hat{\phi}_B\colon\hat{A}\to B$ by*

$${}_C\hat{\psi}_C(a\cdot\phi):={}_C\varepsilon(a)\quad\text{and}\quad{}_B\hat{\phi}_B(\psi\cdot a):=\varepsilon_B(a)\quad\text{for all } a\in A.$$

Then $(\hat{\mathcal{A}},\mu_C,\mu_B,{}_C\hat{\psi}_C,{}_B\hat{\phi}_B)$ is a measured regular multiplier Hopf algebroid, where $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ is locally projective. Its left total integral $\hat{\phi}=\mu_B\circ{}_B\hat{\phi}_B$ and right total integral and $\hat{\psi}=\mu_C\circ{}_C\hat{\psi}_C$ satisfy

$$\hat{\phi}((\psi\cdot S(a))\omega)=\omega(a),\qquad \sigma^{\hat{\phi}}(\psi\cdot a)=(\psi\circ S)\cdot S^{-2}(a),\quad \sigma^{\hat{\phi}}(y)=(\sigma^{\psi})^{-1}(y),\tag{3.4.1}$$

$$\hat{\psi}(\omega(S(b)\cdot\phi))=\omega(b),\quad (\sigma^{\hat{\psi}})^{-1}(a\cdot\phi)=S^{-2}(a)\cdot(\phi\circ S),\quad \sigma^{\hat{\psi}}(x)=(\sigma^{\phi})^{-1}(x)\tag{3.4.2}$$

for all $a,b\in A$, $x\in B$, $y\in C$ and $\omega\in\hat{A}$. If $(\mathcal{A},\mu_B,\mu_C,{}_B\psi_B,{}_C\phi_C)$ is a measured multiplier Hopf $*$-algebroid, then so is $(\hat{\mathcal{A}},\mu_C,\mu_B,{}_C\hat{\psi}_C,{}_B\hat{\phi}_B)$, and then

$$\hat{\psi}((a\cdot\phi)^*(a\cdot\phi))=\phi(a^*a)\qquad\text{and}\qquad\hat{\phi}((\psi\cdot a)(\psi\cdot a)^*)=\psi(aa^*)\qquad\text{for all } a\in A.$$

In the situation above, we call $(\hat{\mathcal{A}},\mu_C,\mu_B,{}_C\hat{\psi}_C,{}_B\hat{\phi}_B)$ the *dual* of $(\mathcal{A},\mu_B,\mu_C,{}_B\psi_B,{}_C\phi_C)$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.3.8, $\mu_C\circ{}_C\hat{\varepsilon}$ and $\mu_B\circ\hat{\varepsilon}_C$ coincide.

The map ${}_C\hat{\psi}_C$ is C-bilinear because by (3.1.4),

$${}_C\hat{\psi}_C(y(a\cdot\phi)y')={}_C\hat{\psi}_C(yt_C(y')a\cdot\phi)={}_C\varepsilon(yt_C(y')a)=y_C\varepsilon(a)y'=y_C\hat{\psi}_C(a\cdot\phi)y'$$

for all $y,y'\in C$ and $a\in A$. The functional $\hat{\psi}=\mu_C\circ{}_C\hat{\psi}_C$ on $\hat{A}$ is factorizable and

$${}_B\hat{\psi}(a\cdot\phi)=t_C(\varepsilon_C(a)),\qquad\qquad\hat{\psi}_B(a\cdot\phi)=(\sigma^{\phi})^{-1}(\varepsilon_B(a)),$$

because by (3.1.4) and Theorem 2.2.3,

$$\hat{\psi}(x(a\cdot\phi))=\hat{\psi}(a\cdot\phi\cdot t_B(x))=\hat{\psi}(at_C^{-1}(x)\cdot\phi)=\varepsilon(at_C^{-1}(x))=\mu_B(xt_C(\varepsilon_C(a))),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\psi}((a\cdot\phi)x')=\hat{\psi}(a\cdot\phi\cdot x')&=\hat{\psi}(a\sigma^{\phi}(x')\cdot\phi)\\ &=\varepsilon(a\sigma^{\phi}(x'))=\mu_B(\varepsilon_B(a)\sigma^{\phi}(x'))=\mu_B((\sigma^{\phi})^{-1}(\varepsilon_B(a))x').\end{aligned}$$

Taking $x' = (\sigma^{\phi})^{-1}(x)$ in the equations above, we find

$$\hat{\psi}(x(a \cdot \phi)) = \varepsilon(at_C^{-1}(x)) = \varepsilon(ax) = \varepsilon(a\sigma^{\phi}(x')) = \hat{\psi}((a \cdot \phi)x')$$

which will give the last equation in (3.4.2) once existence of $\sigma^{\hat{\psi}}$ has been shown.

To see that ${}_C\hat{\psi}_C$ is a partial right integral, we use Remark 3.3.4 with $\omega \in \hat{A}$, $\upsilon \in \hat{A}$ of the form $\upsilon = a \cdot \phi$ for some $a \in A$, and $b \in A$, and find

$$\begin{aligned}((t_C \circ {}_C\hat{\psi}_C \odot \iota)(\hat{\Delta}_B(\upsilon)(1 \otimes \omega)))(b) &= (\hat{\psi} \underset{\mu_B}{\otimes} b^{\vee})(\hat{\Delta}_B(\upsilon)(1 \otimes \omega)) \\ &= \hat{\psi}((\omega \triangleright b) \cdot \upsilon) = \hat{\psi}((\omega \triangleright b)a \cdot \phi) = \varepsilon((\omega \triangleright b)a).\end{aligned}$$

We use (2.1.18), (3.2.3) the counit property and (3.1.4), and find

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon((\omega \triangleright b)a) = \varepsilon((\omega \triangleright b)_C\varepsilon(a)) &= \varepsilon(\omega \triangleright b_C\varepsilon(a)) \\ &= \omega(b_C\varepsilon(a)) = \omega(b_C\hat{\psi}_C(a \cdot \phi)) = ({}_C\hat{\psi}_C(a \cdot \phi)\omega)(b).\end{aligned}$$

Since $b \in A$ was arbitrary, we can conclude that

$$(t_C \circ {}_C\hat{\psi}_C \odot \iota)(\hat{\Delta}_B(\upsilon)(1 \otimes \omega)) = {}_C\hat{\psi}_C(a \cdot \phi)\omega,$$

showing that ${}_C\hat{\psi}_C$ is a partial right integral. It is full, that is, ${}_B\hat{\psi}(A) = B = \hat{\psi}_B(A)$, because ${}_C\varepsilon$ and ε_C are surjective by Remark 2.2.2.

Similar arguments show that the functional $\hat{\phi} = \mu_B \circ {}_B\hat{\phi}_B$ is factorizable and that ${}_B\hat{\phi}_B$ is a partial left integral.

To prove the first equation in (3.4.2), let $\upsilon \in \hat{A}$, $b \in A$ and write $\omega = b \cdot \phi$. Then

$$\hat{\psi}(\upsilon(b \cdot \phi)) = \hat{\psi}((b \triangleleft \upsilon S^{-1}) \cdot \phi) = \varepsilon(b \triangleleft \upsilon S^{-1})) = \upsilon(S^{-1}(b))$$

by (3.2.6). Since ϕ is faithful, we can conclude that $\hat{\psi}$ is faithful as well. Moreover, taking $\upsilon = a \cdot \phi$ with $a \in A$ and $\omega' = S^{-2}(b) \cdot (\phi \circ S)$, we get

$$\hat{\psi}((a \cdot \phi)(b \cdot \phi)) = \phi(S^{-1}(b)a) = (\phi \cdot S^{-1}(b))(a) = \omega'(S^{-1}(a)) = \hat{\psi}(\omega'(a \cdot \phi)),$$

whence the second equation in (3.4.2) follows. The third one was proven above already.

If $(\mathcal{A}, \mu_B, \mu_C, {}_B\psi_B, {}_C\phi_C)$ is a measured multiplier Hopf $*$-algebroid, then

$$\hat{\psi}((a \cdot \phi)^*(a \cdot \phi)) = (a \cdot \phi)^*(S^{-1}(a)) = \phi(S(S^{-1}(a))^*a)^* = \phi(a^*a)$$

for all $a \in A$ by (3.4.2), and a similar calculation shows that $\hat{\phi}((\psi \cdot a)(\psi \cdot a)^*) = \psi(aa^*)$ whence $\hat{\psi}$ and $\hat{\phi}$ are positive. □

The following biduality holds.

3.4.2. **Theorem.** *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mu_B, \mu_C, {}_B\psi_B, {}_C\phi_C)$ be a measured regular multiplier Hopf algebroid or a measured multiplier Hopf $*$-algebroid, where $\mathcal{A}$ is locally projective, and let $(\hat{\mathcal{A}}, \mu_C, \mu_B, {}_C\hat{\psi}_C, {}_B\hat{\phi}_B)$ be its dual. Then the map $A \to (\hat{A})^{\vee}$ given by $a \mapsto a^{\vee}$ is an isomorphism from $(\mathcal{A}, \mu_B, \mu_C, {}_B\psi_B, {}_C\phi_C)$ to the bi-dual $(\hat{\hat{\mathcal{A}}}, \mu_B, \mu_C, {}_B\hat{\hat{\psi}}_B, {}_C\hat{\hat{\phi}}_C)$.*

Proof. The bidual regular multiplier Hopf algebra $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{A}}}$ has the form

$$\hat{\hat{\mathcal{A}}} = (\hat{\hat{A}}, B, C, t_B, t_C, \hat{\hat{\Delta}}_B, \hat{\hat{\Delta}}_C).$$

The map $j\colon A \to (\hat{A})^\vee$ given by $a \mapsto a^\vee$ is a linear surjection from A to $\hat{\hat{A}}$ because $\hat{\hat{A}} = \hat{A} \cdot \hat{\psi} = (A \cdot \phi) \cdot \hat{\psi}$ and

$$((S(b) \cdot \phi) \cdot \hat{\psi})(\upsilon) = \hat{\psi}(\upsilon(S(b) \cdot \phi)) = \upsilon(b) = b^\vee(\upsilon)$$

for all $b \in A$ and $\upsilon \in \hat{A}$ by (3.4.2). The map j is injective because elements of $\hat{A}$ separate the points of A. To check that it is B-bilinear, it helps to write $\hat{C} = B$ and $\hat{t}_{\hat{C}} = t_C^{-1}$. We insert (3.1.4) twice and find that

$$xb^\vee x'(\upsilon) = b^\vee(\upsilon x \hat{t}_{\hat{C}}(x')) = \upsilon(xbt_C(\hat{t}_{\hat{C}}(x'))) = \upsilon(xbx')$$

for all $x, x' \in \hat{C} = B$, $b \in A$ and $\upsilon \in \hat{A}$. A similar calculation shows that j is C-bilinear.

Let us next consider the canonical maps of $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{A}}}$. By construction and Lemma 3.3.1, the map $\hat{\hat{T}}_\rho$ satisfies

$$(\hat{\hat{T}}_\rho(a^\vee \otimes b^\vee)|\upsilon \otimes \omega) = (a^\vee \otimes b^\vee|_\lambda \hat{T}(\upsilon \otimes \omega)) = (\upsilon \otimes \omega|T_\rho(a \otimes b))$$

for all $a, b \in A$ and $\upsilon, \omega \in \hat{A}$. Therefore, the map j identifies $\hat{\hat{T}}_\rho$ with T_ρ, and similar arguments show that it identifies the maps $\hat{\hat{T}}_\lambda, {}_\lambda\hat{\hat{T}}$ and ${}_\rho\hat{\hat{T}}$ with $T_\lambda, {}_\lambda T$ and ${}_\rho T$, respectively.

In particular, by Proposition 3.3.3,

$$\begin{aligned}\upsilon(a(\omega \triangleright b)) = (\upsilon \otimes \omega|_\lambda T(a \otimes b)) &= ({}_\lambda\hat{\hat{T}}(a^\vee \otimes b^\vee)|\upsilon \otimes \omega) \\ &= b^\vee((\upsilon \triangleleft a^\vee)\omega) = ((\upsilon \triangleleft a^\vee)\omega)(b) = (\upsilon \triangleleft a^\vee)(\omega \triangleright b),\end{aligned}$$

showing that

$$\upsilon \triangleleft a^\vee = \upsilon \cdot a. \tag{3.4.3}$$

In particular, $(a^\vee b^\vee)(\upsilon) = b^\vee(\upsilon \triangleleft a^\vee) = \upsilon(ab) = (ab)^\vee(\upsilon)$ so that j is a homomorphism.

Therefore, j is an isomorphism from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{A}}}$.

The total left integral $\hat{\hat{\phi}}$ of the bidual is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\hat{\phi}}(a^\vee) = \hat{\hat{\phi}}((S(a) \cdot \phi) \cdot \hat{\psi}) &= \hat{\varepsilon}((\sigma^{\hat{\psi}})^{-1}(S(a) \cdot \phi)) \\ &= \hat{\varepsilon}(S^{-1}(a) \cdot (\phi \circ S)) = (\phi \circ S)(S^{-1}(a)) = \phi(a)\end{aligned}$$

for all $a \in A$, where we used the formula for $\sigma^{\hat{\psi}}$ obtained in the proof of Theorem 3.4.1. A similar argument shows that the total right integral $\hat{\hat{\psi}}$ satisfies $\hat{\hat{\psi}}(a^\vee) = \psi(a)$ for all $a \in A$.

Finally, assume that $(\mathcal{A}, \mu_B, \mu_C, {}_B\psi_B, {}_C\phi_C)$ is a measured multiplier Hopf $*$-algebroid. Then j is a $*$-isomorphism because for all $b \in A$ and $\upsilon \in \hat{A}$, (2.1.19) implies $(b^\vee)^*(\upsilon) = b^\vee(\hat{S}(\upsilon)^*)^*$ and $\hat{S}(\upsilon)^* = * \circ (\upsilon \circ S) \circ * \circ S = * \circ \upsilon \circ *$, whence $(b^\vee)^*(\upsilon) = \upsilon(b^*)$. □

3.4.3. **Remark.** The $M(\hat{A})$-bimodule structure on A, obtained via the identification $A \to \hat{\hat{A}}$, $a \mapsto a^\vee$ takes the following form: for all $a \in A$ and $\upsilon \in A_0^\sqcup \cong M(\hat{A})$,

$$\upsilon \cdot a^\vee = (\upsilon \triangleright a)^\vee \quad \text{and} \quad a^\vee \cdot \upsilon = (a \triangleleft \upsilon)^\vee. \tag{3.4.4}$$

Indeed, for all $\omega \in \hat{A}$, we have $(\upsilon \cdot a^\vee)(\omega) = a^\vee(\omega\upsilon) = (\omega\upsilon)(a) = \omega(\upsilon \triangleright a) = (\upsilon \triangleright a)^\vee(\omega)$, and a similar calculation proves the second equation above.

3.5. **When is the dual unital?** In [5], Böhm and Szlachányi develop a duality for (unital) Hopf algebroids with cointegrals. We now show that the dual of a measured multiplier Hopf algebroid is unital if and only if the latter has a two-sided cointegral.

3.5.1. **Definition.** *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a regular multiplier Hopf algebroid with left counit ${}_C\varepsilon$ and right counit ε_B. A* left cointegral *in $\mathcal{A}$ is an element $l \in A$ satisfying $al = {}_C\varepsilon(a)l$ for all $a \in A$. A* right cointegral *is an element $r \in A$ satisfying $ra = r\varepsilon_B(a)$ for all $a \in A$. A* cointegral *is an element of A that is both a left and a right cointegral.*

Let us call an element $\lambda \in \hat{A}$ a *left integral* if ${}_C\lambda = \lambda_C$ is a partial left integral, and an element $\rho \in \hat{A}$ a *right integral* if ${}_B\rho = \rho_B$ is a partial right integral.

3.5.2. **Lemma.** *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mu_B, \mu_C, {}_B\psi_B, {}_C\phi_C)$ be a measured multiplier Hopf algebroid, where $\mathcal{A}$ is locally projective.*

1. *An element $\lambda \in \hat{A}$ is a left cointegral if and only if it is a left integral on A.*
2. *An element $\rho \in \hat{A}$ is a right cointegral if and only if it is a right integral on A.*

Proof. We only prove (1). Let $\lambda \in \hat{A}$, $a, b \in A$ and $\omega = a \cdot \phi$. Then $(\omega\lambda)(b) = \omega(\lambda \triangleright b)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}({}_B\hat{\varepsilon}(\omega)\lambda)(b) = (t_C({}_C\phi_C(a))\lambda)(b) &= \lambda(t_B t_C({}_C\phi_C(a))b) \\ &= \mu_C({}_C\lambda(b){}_C\phi_C(a)) = \phi({}_C\lambda(b)a) = \omega({}_C\lambda(b)),\end{aligned}$$

where we used the relation $t_B t_C = \sigma_C^{-1}$ (2.2.4). The equivalence in (1) follows. □

In particular, we see that if A is unital, then $\hat{A}$ has a left and a right cointegral. More precisely, modulo duality, the following equivalence holds:

3.5.3. **Proposition.** *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mu_B, \mu_C, {}_B\psi_B, {}_C\phi_C)$ be a measured multiplier Hopf algebroid, where $\mathcal{A}$ is locally projective. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *the dual $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ is unital;*
2. *there exists a left cointegral $l \in A$ such that ${}_C\phi_C(l) = 1_C$;*
3. *there exists a right cointegral $r \in A$ such that ${}_B\psi_B(r) = 1_B$.*

In that case, l and r can be chosen such that $\hat{A} \triangleright l = A = r \triangleleft \hat{A}$.

Proof. We only prove the equivalence of (1) and (2); equivalence with (3) follows similarly. Instead of using Lemma 3.5.2 and biduality, we proceed directly.

Suppose (1) holds. Then $\epsilon \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}} = A \cdot \phi$. Choose $l \in A$ such that $l \cdot \phi = \epsilon$. Then ${}_C\varepsilon = l \cdot {}_C\phi_C$ and hence ${}_C\phi_C(l) = {}_C\varepsilon(1_A) = 1_C$. We show that l is a left cointegral. Let $a, b \in A$. Then $\phi(ab\lambda) = \varepsilon(ab) = \varepsilon(a_C\varepsilon(b)) = \phi(a_C\varepsilon(b)\lambda)$ by (2.1.18) which implies $b\lambda = {}_C\varepsilon(b)\lambda$ because ϕ.

Conversely, assume $l \in A$ is as in (2). Then $(l \cdot \phi)(a) = \phi(al) = \phi({}_C\varepsilon(a)l) = \mu_C({}_C\varepsilon(a){}_C\phi_C(l))\varepsilon(a)$ for all $a \in A$ and hence $\epsilon = l \cdot \phi \in \hat{A}$. Finally, strong invariance (3.2.2) implies $a = (l \cdot \phi) \triangleright a = S((\phi \cdot a) \triangleright l)$ for all $a \in A$ and hence $\hat{A} \triangleright l = S^{-1}(A) = A$. □

4. Morphisms

We now introduce morphisms of regular multiplier Hopf algebroids and show that they automatically preserve the antipode and are, in some sense, compatible with the counits. We pay special attention to morphisms into duals of measured regular multiplier Hopf algebroids because those will allow us to succinctly describe the duals of some examples considered in Section 5.

4.1. **Definition and examples of morphisms.** Let

$$\mathcal{A} = (A, B, C, t_B, t_C, \Delta_B, \Delta_C) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D} = (D, E, F, t_E, t_F, \Delta_E, \Delta_F) \tag{4.1.1}$$

be two regular multiplier Hopf algebroids, let $\pi\colon D \to M(A)$ be a non-degenerate homomorphism and suppose that, after extension of π to multipliers,

$$\pi(E)B = B = B\pi(E), \qquad \pi \circ t_E = t_B \circ \pi, \tag{4.1.2}$$

$$\pi(F)C = C = C\pi(F), \qquad \pi \circ t_F = t_C \circ \pi. \tag{4.1.3}$$

We shall make precise what it means for π to be compatible with the comultiplications of $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{A}$. Intuitively, this means that

$$(a'\pi(d') \otimes a''\pi(d''))\Delta_B(\pi(d)) = (a' \otimes a'')(\pi \otimes \pi)((d' \otimes d'')\Delta_E(d)), \tag{4.1.4}$$

$$\Delta_C(\pi(d))(\pi(d')a' \otimes \pi(d'')a'') = (\pi \otimes \pi)(\Delta_F(d)(d' \otimes d''))(a' \otimes a'') \tag{4.1.5}$$

for all $a', a'' \in A$ and $d, d', d'' \in D$, or, equivalently and more tersely, such that

$$\Delta_B(\pi(d)) = (\pi_E \overline{\times}^E \pi)(\Delta_E(e)) \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_C(\pi(d)) = (\pi^F \overline{\times}_F \pi)(\Delta_F(e)) \tag{4.1.6}$$

for all $d \in D$. However, several expressions in these equations have not yet been defined and require a careful interpretation.

To make sense of the left hand sides in (4.1.4) and (4.1.5), we extend the comultiplications Δ_B and Δ_C to multipliers. We write $(A^C \otimes {}_C A)_{(A\otimes A)}$ and ${}_{(A\otimes A)}(A_B \otimes {}^B A)$ when we regard $A^C \otimes {}_C A$ and $A_B \otimes {}^B A$ as a right or as a left module over $A \otimes A$, respectively.

4.1.1. **Lemma.** *The maps Δ_B and Δ_C extend uniquely to homomorphisms*

$$\Delta_B\colon M(A) \to \mathrm{End}({}_{(A\otimes A)}(A_B \otimes {}^B A))^{\mathrm{op}}, \qquad \Delta_C\colon M(A) \to \mathrm{End}((A^C \otimes {}_C A)_{(A\otimes A)})$$

such that for all $T \in M(A)$ and $a, b, c \in A$,

$$(a \otimes b)\Delta_B(c)\Delta_B(T) = (a \otimes b)\Delta_B(cT), \qquad \Delta_C(T)\Delta_C(a)(b \otimes c) = \Delta_C(Ta)(b \otimes c).$$

Proof. Uniqueness and existence follow easily from bijectivity of the canonical maps, for example, $\Delta_C(T)$ has to coincide with and can be defined as $T_\rho(T \otimes 1)T_\rho^{-1}$. □

To make sense of the right hand sides in (4.1.4) and (4.1.5), note that

$$(\pi \otimes \pi)((d' \otimes d'')\Delta_E(d)) \in M(A)_{M(B)} \otimes {}^{M(B)}M(A),$$
$$(\pi \otimes \pi)(\Delta_F(d)(d' \otimes d'')) \in M(A)^{M(C)} \otimes {}_{M(C)}M(A)$$

are well-defined by (4.1.4) and (4.1.5), so that we can multiply on the left or on the right, respectively, by $a' \otimes a'' \in A \otimes A$ to obtain well-defined elements in $A_{M(B)} \otimes {}^{M(B)}A = A_B \otimes {}^B A$ or $A^{M(C)} \otimes A_{M(C)} = A^C \otimes {}_C A$, respectively.

By now, the left and the right hand sides of (4.1.4) and (4.1.5) as well as the left hand sides in (4.1.6) are well-defined. Let us now turn to the right hand sides in (4.1.6).

We write A_E, ${}^EA$, ${}_FA$, A^F when we regard A as a module over E or F such that

$$a \cdot e := a\pi(e), \qquad e \cdot a := a\pi(t_E(e)), \qquad f \cdot a := \pi(f)a, \qquad a \cdot f := \pi(t_F(f))a$$

for all $a \in A$, $e \in E$ and $f \in F$.

4.1.2. **Lemma.** *There exist well-defined homomorphisms*

$$\pi_E\overline{\times}^E\pi\colon D_E\overline{\times}^E D \to \mathrm{End}({}_{(A\otimes A)}(A_E \otimes {}^EA))^{\mathrm{op}},$$
$$\pi^F\overline{\times}_F\pi\colon D^F\overline{\times}_F D \to \mathrm{End}((A^F \otimes {}_FA)_{(A\otimes A)})$$

such that for all $t \in D^F\overline{\times}_F D$, $s \in D_E\overline{\times}^E D$, $d, d' \in D$ *and* $a, a' \in A$,

$$(a'\pi(d) \otimes a\pi(d'))(\pi_E\overline{\times}^E\pi)(w) = (a \otimes a')(\pi \otimes \pi)((d \otimes d')w),$$
$$(\pi^F\overline{\times}_F\pi)(w)(\pi(d)a \otimes \pi(d')a') = (\pi \otimes \pi)(w(d \otimes d'))(a \otimes a').$$

Proof. We only prove the assertion concerning $\pi^F\overline{\times}_F\pi$; the other map can be treated similarly. Let $w \in D^F\overline{\times}_F D$. By definition of $D^F\overline{\times}_F D$,

$$(\pi \otimes \pi)(w(d \otimes 1))(a \otimes \pi(d')a') = (\pi \otimes \pi)(w(1 \otimes d'))(\pi(d)a \otimes a')$$

for all $d, d' \in D$ and $a, a' \in A$. Hence, there exists a well-defined linear map from $A \times A$ to $A^F \otimes {}_FA$ that sends every element of the form $(\pi(d)a, \pi(d')a')$ to the expression above. The corresponding linear map from $A \otimes A$ to $A^F \otimes {}_FA$ descends $A^F \otimes {}_FA$ because $w(t_F(u) \otimes 1) = w(1 \otimes u)$ for all $u \in F$ by definition of $D^F\overline{\times}_F D$. □

Now also the right hand sides in (4.1.6) are well-defined, but they do not lie in the same space as the left hand sides. To remedy this, note that the quotient maps from $A \otimes A$ to $A^C \otimes {}_CA$ or $A_B \otimes {}^BA$, respectively, factorize trough the quotient maps

$$q_E\colon A_E \otimes {}^EA \to A_B \otimes {}^BA \quad \text{and} \quad q_F\colon A^F \otimes {}_FA \to A^C \otimes {}_CA, \tag{4.1.7}$$

which induce canonical maps from $\mathrm{End}({}_{(A\otimes A)}(A_B \otimes {}^BA))$ and $\mathrm{End}({}_{(A\otimes A)}(A_E \otimes {}^EA))$ to

$$\mathrm{Hom}({}_{(A\otimes A)}(A_E \otimes {}^EA), {}_{(A\otimes A)}(A^B \otimes {}_BA)), \tag{4.1.8}$$

and from $\mathrm{End}((A^C \otimes {}_CA)_{(A\otimes A)})$ and $\mathrm{End}((A^F \otimes {}_FA)_{(A\otimes A)})$ to

$$\mathrm{Hom}((A^F \otimes {}_FA)_{(A\otimes A)}, (A^C \otimes {}_CA)_{(A\otimes A)}). \tag{4.1.9}$$

To keep the notation in reasonable bounds, we shall apply these maps where needed without explicit mentioning, for example, to make sense of the two equations in (4.1.6).

4.1.3. **Definition.** *Let* $\mathcal{D} = (D, E, F, t_E, t_F, \Delta_E, \Delta_F)$ *and* $\mathcal{A} = (A, B, C, t_B, t_C, \Delta_B, \Delta_C)$ *be regular multiplier Hopf algebroids. A* morphism *from* $\mathcal{D}$ *to* $\mathcal{A}$ *is a non-degenerate homomorphism* $\pi\colon D \to M(A)$ *satisfying* (4.1.2), (4.1.3), *and* (4.1.6).

Examples of morphisms will be given in Section 5. In particular, we shall use morphisms into duals of measured regular multiplier Hopf algebroids to describe the comultiplications on these duals in a succinct way.

Let us now suppose that $(\mathcal{A}, \mu_B, \mu_C, {}_B\psi_B, {}_C\phi_C)$ is a measured regular multiplier Hopf algebroid and explain how one can test whether a homomorphism $\pi\colon D \to M(\hat{A})$ is a morphism from $\mathcal{D}$ to the dual $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ constructed in Section 3.

We first show that the extended comultiplications Δ_B and Δ_C on $M(A)$ are dual to the multiplication on $\hat{A}$ in a natural sense, but then apply this result with $\mathcal{A}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ switched. Recall that $L(M)$ and $R(M)$ denote the left and the right multipliers of a module M. Similar arguments as in the case of Lemma 3.3.1 show:

4.1.4. **Lemma.** *There exist unique pairings*

$$L((A^C \otimes {}_CA)_{(A\otimes A)}) \times (\hat{A} \otimes \hat{A}) \to \mathbb{C} \quad \text{and} \quad R({}_{(A\otimes A)}(A_B \otimes {}^BA)) \times (\hat{A} \otimes \hat{A}) \to \mathbb{C}$$

such that for all $T \in M(A)$, $a, b \in A$, $\upsilon, \omega \in \hat{A}$,

$$(T|a\cdot\upsilon \otimes b\cdot\omega) = (T(a\otimes b)|\upsilon\otimes\omega) = (\upsilon \underset{\mu_C}{\otimes} \omega)(T(a\otimes b)),$$
$$(T|\upsilon\cdot a \otimes \omega\cdot b) = ((a\otimes b)T|\upsilon\otimes\omega) = (\upsilon \underset{\mu_B}{\otimes} \omega)((a\otimes b)T).$$

These pairings separate the points of $L((A^C \otimes {}_CA)_{(A\otimes A)})$ and of $R({}_{(A\otimes A)}(A_B \otimes {}^BA))$.

4.1.5. **Proposition.** *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mu_B, \mu_C, {}_B\psi_B, {}_C\phi_C)$ be a measured regular multiplier Hopf algebroid. Then*

$$(\Delta_B(T)|\upsilon\otimes\omega) = (T|\upsilon\omega) = (\Delta_C(T)|\upsilon\otimes\omega)$$

for all $a \in M(A)$ and $\upsilon, \omega \in \hat{A}$.

Proof. We only prove the second equation. Write $\upsilon = a\cdot\phi$ and $\omega = b\cdot\psi$ with $a, b \in A$ and choose $d_i, e_i \in A$ such that $a\otimes b = \sum_i \Delta_C(d_i)(e_i\otimes 1)$ in $A^C \otimes {}_CA$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}(\Delta_C(T)|\upsilon\otimes\omega) &= \sum_i (\phi \underset{\mu_C}{\otimes} \phi)(\Delta_C(Td_i)(e_i\otimes 1)\\ &= \sum_i \phi({}_C\phi_C(Td_i)e_i) = \sum_i \phi(Td_i{}_C\phi_C(e_i)).\end{aligned}$$

For $T \in A$, the left hand side becomes $T^\vee(\upsilon\cdot\omega)$, whence $\sum_i \phi(-d_i{}_C\phi_C(e_i))) = \upsilon\cdot\omega$. □

4.1.6. **Corollary.** *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mu_B, \mu_C, {}_B\psi_B, {}_C\phi_C)$ be a measured regular multiplier Hopf algebroid, let $\mathcal{D}$ be a regular multiplier Hopf algebroid as above and let $\pi\colon D \to M(\hat{A})$ be a non-degenerate homomorphism satisfying* (4.1.2) *and* (4.1.3)*. Then π is a morphism from $\mathcal{D}$ to $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ if and only if for all $d, d', d'' \in D$ and $a, b \in A$,*

$$((\pi\otimes\pi)((d'\otimes d'')\Delta_E(d))|a'\otimes a'') = \pi(d)((a'\triangleleft\pi(d'))(a''\triangleleft\pi(d''))), \tag{4.1.10}$$
$$((\pi\otimes\pi)(\Delta_F(d)(d'\otimes d''))|a'\otimes a'') = \pi(d)((\pi(d')\triangleright a')(\pi(d'')\triangleright a'')). \tag{4.1.11}$$

Proof. By Proposition 4.1.5,

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(d)((\pi(d')\triangleright a')(\pi(d'')\triangleright a'')) &= (\hat{\Delta}_B(\pi(d))|(\pi(d')\triangleright a')\otimes(\pi(d'')\triangleright a''))\\ &= (\hat{\Delta}_B(\pi(d))(\pi(d')\otimes\pi(d''))|a'\otimes a'').\end{aligned}$$

Thus, (4.1.11) is equivalent to (4.1.5). A similar argument shows that (4.1.10) is equivalent to (4.1.4). □

4.2. **Morphisms preserve the antipode and counits.** Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ be regular multiplier Hopf algebroids as in 4.1.1 again and let $\pi\colon D \to M(A)$ be a morphism from $\mathcal{D}$ to $\mathcal{A}$. Denote by ${}_C\varepsilon$ and ε_B the left and the right counit of $\mathcal{A}$, and by ε_E and ${}_F\varepsilon$ the left and the right counit of $\mathcal{D}$.

4.2.1. **Lemma.** *For all $a \in A$ and $d \in D$,*

$$\varepsilon_B(\pi(d)a) = \varepsilon_B(\pi(\varepsilon_E(d))a) \qquad \text{and} \qquad {}_C\varepsilon(a\pi(d)) = {}_C\varepsilon(a\pi({}_F\varepsilon(d))).$$

Proof. We only prove the second equation, and use a similar commutative diagram as in the proof of Proposition 3.5 (2) in [23]:



Here, $m_{A,D}$, $m_{D,A}$ and m_E denote the obvious multiplication maps, and $\widetilde{T_\rho}^{\pi}$ is defined by $d \otimes \pi(e)b \mapsto (\iota \otimes \pi)(\Delta_F(d)(1 \otimes e))(1 \otimes b)$. All cells except for the upper right one commute by the assumptions on ${}_C\varepsilon, {}_F\varepsilon$ and π. Since $\widetilde{T_\rho}$ is surjective, we can conclude that the last cell must commute, which proves the assertion. □

4.2.2. **Remark.** In informal Sweedler notation, the argument can be written as follows. Fix $a, b \in A$ and $d, e \in D$ and write $\Delta_C(a)$ and $\Delta_F(d)$ as $a_{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)}$ and $d_{(1)} \otimes d_{(2)}$, respectively. The counit relation, applied to $\Delta_C(a\pi(d))$, $\Delta_C(a)$ and $\Delta_F(d)$, implies

$${}_C\varepsilon(a_{(1)}\pi(d_{(1)}))a_{(2)}\pi(d_{(2)})\pi(e)b = a\pi(d)\pi(e)b = {}_C\varepsilon(a_{(1)})a_{(2)}\pi({}_F\varepsilon(d_{(1)}))\pi(d_{(2)}e)b.$$

Since the canonical maps of $\mathcal{D}$ are bijective and $\pi({}_F\varepsilon(D)) \subseteq M(C)$, we obtain

$${}_C\varepsilon(a_{(1)}\pi(d'))\pi(e')b = {}_C\varepsilon(a_{(1)})a_{(2)}\pi({}_F\varepsilon(d'))\pi(e')b = {}_C\varepsilon(a_{(1)}t_B(\pi({}_F\varepsilon(d'))))a_{(2)}\pi(e')b$$

for all $d', e' \in D$. Now, we use the relation $\pi(D)A = A$, bijectivity of the canonical maps of $\mathcal{A}$, and the relation ${}_C\varepsilon(a't_B(y)) = {}_C\varepsilon(a'y)$, where $y \in C$, and conclude that

$${}_C\varepsilon(a'\pi(d'))b' = {}_C\varepsilon(a't_B(\pi({}_F\varepsilon(d'))))b' = {}_C\varepsilon(a'\pi({}_F\varepsilon(d')))b'$$

for all $a', b' \in A$ and $d' \in D$.

4.2.3. **Theorem.** *Let $\mathcal{A} = (A, B, C, t_B, t_C, \Delta_B, \Delta_C)$ and $\mathcal{D} = (D, E, F, t_E, t_F, \Delta_E, \Delta_F)$ be regular multiplier Hopf algebroids with antipodes S_A and S_D, respectively, and let $\pi\colon D \to M(A)$ be a morphism from $\mathcal{D}$ to $\mathcal{A}$. Then $\pi \circ S_D = S_A \circ \pi$.*

Proof. Let us first outline the argument using informal Sweedler notation. Fix $a, b \in A$ and $d \in D$. By the lemma above,

$$S_A(\pi(d_{(1)})a_{(1)})\pi(d_{(2)})a_{(2)}b = \varepsilon_B(\pi(d)a)b = \varepsilon_B(\pi(\varepsilon_E(d))a)b = S_A(a_{(1)})\pi(\varepsilon_E(d))a_{(2)}b.$$

Since the canonical maps of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are surjective, we can first conclude that

$$S_A(\pi(d_{(1)})a')\pi(d_{(2)}e)b' = S_A(a')\pi(\varepsilon_E(d)e)b' = S_A(a')\pi(S_D(d_{(1)})d_{(2)}e)b'$$

for all $a', b' \in A$ and $e \in D$, and then that $S_A(a')S_A(\pi(d'))b'' = S_A(a')\pi(S_D(d'))b''$ for all $d' \in A$ and $b'' \in A$.

To make this more precise, consider the following diagram:



Here, m_E^{op}, $\bar{m}_C$ and $\bar{m}_C^{\mathrm{op}}$ denote the obvious multiplication maps, and T_ρ^π maps an element $d \otimes \pi(e)b$ to $(\pi \otimes \pi)(\Delta_F(d)(1 \otimes e))(1 \otimes b)$. The outer cell commutes because π is a morphism, the cell on the left hand side commutes by the preceding lemma, and all of the other cells except for the triangle commute as well. Since T_ρ^A is surjective, we can conclude that this triangle commutes as well, whence

$$\pi(\varepsilon_E(d))\pi(e)a = \bar{m}_C(S_A \otimes \iota)(T_\rho^\pi(d \otimes \pi(e)a))$$

for all $d, e \in D$ and $a \in A$. Writing $\Delta_F(d)(1 \otimes e) = \sum_i d_i' \otimes e_i'$, this relation takes the form

$$\sum_i \pi(S_D(d_i')e_i')a = \sum_i S_A(\pi(d_i'))\pi(e_i')a.$$

Since T_ρ^D is surjective, the claim follows. □

5. Examples

As a special case, the duality theory developed in the preceding sections restricts to a duality of regular weak multiplier Hopf algebras with integrals, which correspond with certain measured regular multiplier Hopf algebras. We carefully explain this relation, summarizing and extending the results in [24], and give a self-contained description of the resulting duality for weak multiplier Hopf algebras.

Next, we determine the dual objects for measured regular multiplier Hopf algebroids associated in [22] to étale, locally compact, Hausdorff groupoids, to actions of Hopf algebras, and to braided-commutative Yetter-Drinfeld algebras. To keep the treatment

in reasonable bounds, we introduce a few simplifying assumptions, for example, to avoid a discussion of Radon-Nikodym derivatives. The latter will be taken up in [20].

5.1. **Duality of weak multiplier Hopf algebras with integrals.** A regular weak multiplier Hopf algebra [28] consists of a non-degenerate, idempotent algebra A and a homomorphism $\Delta\colon A \to M(A \otimes A)$ satisfying the following conditions:

(1) for all $a, b \in A$, the following elements belong to $A \otimes A$:

$$\Delta(a)(1 \otimes b), \qquad \Delta(a)(b \otimes 1), \qquad (1 \otimes b)\Delta(a), \qquad (b \otimes 1)\Delta(a);$$

(2) Δ is coassociative in the sense that for all $a, b, c \in A$,

$$(a \otimes 1 \otimes 1)(\Delta \otimes \iota)(\Delta(b)(1 \otimes c)) = (\iota \otimes \Delta)((a \otimes 1)\Delta(b))(1 \otimes 1 \otimes c);$$

(3) Δ is full in the sense that there are no strict subspaces $V, W \subset A$ satisfying

$$\Delta(A)(1 \otimes A) \subseteq V \otimes A \qquad \text{or} \qquad (A \otimes 1)\Delta(A) \subseteq A \otimes W;$$

(4) there exists an idempotent $E \in M(A \otimes A)$ such that

$$\Delta(A)(1 \otimes A) = E(A \otimes A) \qquad \text{and} \qquad (A \otimes 1)\Delta(A) = (A \otimes A)E,$$

(5) the idempotent E in condition (4) satisfies

$$(\Delta \otimes \iota)(E) = (E \otimes 1)(1 \otimes E) = (1 \otimes E)(E \otimes 1) = (\iota \otimes \Delta)(E),$$

where $\Delta \otimes \iota$ and $\iota \otimes \Delta$ are extended to homomorphisms $M(A \otimes A) \to M(A \otimes A \otimes A)$ such that $1 \mapsto E \otimes 1$ or $1 \mapsto 1 \otimes E$, respectively;

(6) there exist idempotents $F_1 \in M(A \otimes A^{\mathrm{op}})$ and $F_2 \in M(A^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes A)$ such that

$$E_{13}(F_1 \otimes 1) = E_{13}(1 \otimes E) \qquad \text{and} \qquad (1 \otimes F_2)E_{13} = (E \otimes 1)E_{13},$$

where $E_{13} \in M(A \otimes A \otimes A)$ acts like E on the first and third tensor factor, and such that the kernels of the maps

$$T_1\colon A \otimes A \to A \otimes A, \quad a \otimes b \mapsto \Delta(a)(1 \otimes b),$$
$$T_2\colon A \otimes A \to A \otimes A, \quad a \otimes b \mapsto (a \otimes 1)\Delta(b),$$

are given by $\ker(T_i) = (A \otimes 1)(1 - F_i)(1 \otimes A)$ for $i = 1, 2$;

(7) there exists a linear map $\varepsilon\colon A \to \mathbb{C}$ called the *counit* such that for all $a, b \in A$,

$$(\varepsilon \odot \iota)(\Delta(a)(1 \otimes b)) = ab = (\iota \odot \varepsilon)((a \otimes 1)\Delta(b)).$$

Let (A, Δ) be regular weak multiplier Hopf algebra. Then the comultiplication extends to a homomorphism $\Delta\colon M(A) \to M(A \otimes A)$ such that $1 \mapsto E$. Moreover, there exists an antipode, which is a bijective anti-homomorphism $S\colon A \to A$ such that the maps

$$R_1\colon A \otimes A \to A \otimes A, \quad a \otimes b \mapsto a_{(1)} \otimes S(a_{(2)})b,$$
$$R_2\colon A \otimes A \to A \otimes A, \quad a \otimes b \mapsto aS(b_{(1)}) \otimes b_{(2)}$$

are well-defined and satisfy $T_iR_iT_i = T_i$ and $R_iT_iR_i = R_i$ for $i = 1, 2$; see [28]. Using this antipode, one defines source and target maps $\varepsilon_s, \varepsilon_t\colon A \to M(A)$ by

$$\varepsilon_s(a) = S(a_{(1)})a_{(2)}, \qquad \varepsilon_t(a) = a_{(1)}S(a_{(2)}).$$

For the purpose of this section, we adopt the following terminology.

5.1.1. **Definition.** *A* Frobenius tuple *is a pair of algebras B, C with anti-isomorphisms $t_B\colon B \to C$ and $t_C\colon C \to B$ and functionals $\mu_B \in B^\vee$ and $\mu_C \in C^\vee$ such that there exists an idempotent $E \in M(B \otimes C)$ satisfying the following conditions:*

(1) *$E(1 \otimes y) = E(t_C(y) \otimes 1)$ and $(x \otimes 1)E = (1 \otimes t_B(x))E$ for all $y \in C$ and $x \in B$;*
(2) *$m(\iota \otimes t_B^{-1})(E) = 1$ in $M(C)$ and $m(t_C^{-1} \otimes \iota)(E) = 1$ in $M(B)$;*
(3) *$(\mu_B \odot \iota)(E) = 1$ in $M(C)$ and $(\iota \odot \mu_C)(E) = 1$ in $M(B)$.*

Suppose given a Frobenius tuple with $E \in M(B \otimes C)$ as above. Then E is a *separability idempotent* in the sense of [26], and

(F1) the algebras B and C have local units [26, Proposition 1.10]; in particular, they are firm;
(F2) every idempotent B-submodule or C-submodule splits [26, Section 4]; in particular, every idempotent module over B or C is locally projective.

Let A be a non-degenerate, idempotent algebra with $B, C \subseteq M(A)$ as commuting subalgebras such that $AB = BA = AC = CA = A$. We regard A as a module over B and C as in Section 2.1, and denote by

$$\pi_B\colon A \otimes A \to A_B \otimes {}^B A \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_C\colon A \otimes A \to A^C \otimes {}_C A$$

the canonical quotient maps.

5.1.2. **Lemma.** (1) *Every functional on A is factorizable, that is, $A^\sqcup = A^\vee$.*
(2) *There are well-defined linear maps*

$$\iota_B\colon A_B \otimes {}^B A \to A \otimes A,\ a \otimes b \mapsto (a \otimes b)E,$$
$$\iota_C\colon A^C \otimes {}_C A \to A \otimes A,\ a \otimes b \mapsto E(a \otimes b);$$

and $\pi_B \circ \iota_B$ and $\pi_C \circ \iota_C$ are the identity on $A_B \otimes {}^B A$ or $A^C \otimes {}_C A$, respectively.
(3) *For all $\upsilon, \omega \in A^\vee = A^\sqcup$,*

$$(\upsilon \otimes \omega) \circ \iota_B = \upsilon \underset{\mu_B}{\otimes} \omega \text{ in } (A_B \otimes {}^B A)^\vee, \qquad (\upsilon \otimes \omega) \circ \iota_C = \upsilon \underset{\mu_C}{\otimes} \omega \text{ in } (A^C \otimes {}_C A)^\vee.$$

Proof. (1) As in [22, Proof of Lemma 3.3], one checks that for every $\omega \in A^\vee$, the formulas

$$\omega_B(a) = (\omega \otimes t_B^{-1})((a \otimes 1)E), \qquad {}_B\omega(a) = (\omega \otimes t_C)(E(a \otimes 1)), \tag{5.1.1}$$
$$\omega_C(a) = (t_B \otimes \omega)((1 \otimes a)E), \qquad {}_C\omega(a) = (t_C^{-1} \otimes \omega)(E(1 \otimes a)) \tag{5.1.2}$$

define module maps $\omega_B, {}_B\omega, \omega_C, {}_C\omega$ whose composition with μ_B or μ_C, respectively, is ω.

(2) Straightforward; see also [24, Proposition 3.9].

(3) We only prove the first relation. Let $\upsilon, \omega \in A^\vee$ and $a, b \in A$. By (5.1.1),

$$\begin{aligned}(\upsilon \underset{\mu_B}{\otimes} \omega)(a \otimes b) = \omega(bt_B(\upsilon_B(a))) &= \omega(bt_B((\upsilon \otimes t_B^{-1})((a \otimes 1)E))) \\ &= \omega(b(\upsilon \otimes \iota)((a \otimes 1)E)) \\ &= (\upsilon \otimes \omega)((a \otimes b)E) = (\upsilon \otimes \omega)(\iota_B(a \otimes b)).\end{aligned}$$ □

To formulate our main results, we need one more definition.

5.1.3. **Definition.** *Let (A,Δ) be a regular weak multiplier Hopf algebra. We call a functional ϕ on A a* left integral on (A,Δ) *if*

$$(\iota\odot\phi)((b\otimes 1)\Delta(a)) = (\iota\odot\phi)((b\otimes a)\Delta(1)) \quad \text{for all } a,b\in A,$$

and a functional ψ on A a right integral on (A,Δ) *if*

$$(\psi\odot\iota)(\Delta(a)(1\otimes b)) = (\psi\odot\iota)(\Delta(1)(a\otimes b)) \quad \text{for all } a,b\in A.$$

A regular weak multiplier Hopf algebra with integrals *is a regular weak multiplier Hopf algebra (A,Δ) with a right integral ψ and a left integral ϕ satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned}(\phi\otimes S_C)((A\otimes 1)\Delta(1)) = B = (\phi\otimes S_B^{-1})(\Delta(1)(A\otimes 1)),\\ (S_B\otimes\psi)(\Delta(1)(1\otimes A)) = C = (S_C^{-1}\otimes\psi)((1\otimes A)\Delta(1)).\end{aligned} \tag{5.1.3}$$

5.1.4. **Theorem.** *Let (A,Δ,ψ,ϕ) be a regular weak multiplier Hopf algebra with integrals. Then there exists a unique measured regular multiplier Hopf algebroid*

$$(\mathcal{A},\mu_B,\mu_C,{}_B\psi_B,{}_C\phi_C), \quad \text{where } \mathcal{A}=(A,B,C,t_B,t_C,\Delta_B,\Delta_C),$$

such that for all $a,b,c\in A$, $x\in B$, $y\in C$,

$$\begin{gathered}B=\varepsilon_s(A),\quad C=\varepsilon_t(A),\quad t_B(x)=S^{-1}(x),\quad t_C(y)=S^{-1}(y),\\ (b\otimes c)\Delta_B(a)=\pi_B((b\otimes c)\Delta(a)) \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_C(a)(b\otimes c)=\pi_C(\Delta(a)(b\otimes c)),\\ \mu_B(\varepsilon_s(a))=\varepsilon(a)=\mu_C(\varepsilon_t(a)),\quad \mu_B\circ{}_B\psi_B=\psi,\quad \mu_C\circ{}_C\phi_C=\phi.\end{gathered}$$

Moreover, $(B,C,t_B,t_C,\mu_B,\mu_C)$ is a Frobenius tuple and $\mathcal{A}$ is locally projective.

Proof. First, $\mathcal{A}$ is a regular multiplier Hopf algebroid by [24, Theorem 4.8], and locally projective by (F1) and (F2). The integrals ϕ and ψ factorize through partial integrals ${}_C\phi_C$ and ${}_B\psi_B$ by [22, Lemma 3.3] and its right-handed counterpart, (μ_B,μ_C) is a counital base weight by [22, Example 5.1], and ϕ and ψ are full by (5.1.3). □

To prove the converse, we need the following result.

5.1.5. **Proposition.** *Let $(A,B,C,t_B,t_C,\Delta_B,\Delta_C)$ be a regular multiplier Hopf algebroid and suppose that $(B,C,t_B,t_C,\mu_B,\mu_C)$ is a Frobenius tuple. Then A has local units.*

Proof. We follow the proof of [8, Proposition 2.2]. Let $a_1,\dots,a_n\in A$. We suppose that the vector space $V=\{(aa_1,\dots,aa_n) : a\in A\}\subseteq A^n$ does not contain $(a_1,\dots,a_n)$ and obtain a contradiction as follows. Choose $\omega^{(1)},\dots,\omega^{(n)}\in A^\vee$ such that $\sum_i\omega^{(i)}(aa_i)=0$ for all $a\in A$ but $\sum_i\omega^{(i)}(a_i)\neq 0$. Since B has local units and ε_B is surjective, we can find a $b\in A$ such that $\varepsilon_B(b)a_i=a_i$ for all i. Write

$$\Delta_C(b)(1\otimes a_i)=\sum_j p_{ij}\otimes q_{ij}$$

with $p_{ij},q_{ij}\in A$. Then by (2.1.14),

$$\sum_j\omega^{(i)}(S(p_{ij})q_{ij})=\omega^{(i)}(\varepsilon_B(b)a_i)=\omega^{(i)}(a_i).$$

We shall show that the left hand side is 0 for all i, which is a contradiction.

Fix i. By (F1) and (F2), we can choose $\upsilon_C^{(k)} \in (A_C)^\vee$ and $e^{(k)} \in A$ such that

$$\sum_k e^{(k)} \upsilon_C^{(k)}(S(p_{ij})) = S(p_{ij})$$

for all j. Write $\omega^{(i,k)} = \omega^{(i)} \cdot e^{(k)}$ and $\upsilon^{(k)} = \mu_C \circ \upsilon_C^{(k)}$. Then

$$\sum_j \omega^{(i)}(S(p_{ij})q_{ij}) = \sum_{j,k} \omega^{(i)}(e^{(k)}\upsilon_C^{(k)}(S(p_{ij}))q_{ij}) = \sum_{j,k} \omega^{(i,k)}(\upsilon_C^{(k)}(S(p_{ij}))q_{ij}).$$

Since $\omega^{(i,k)} \in A^\vee = A^\sqcup$, we can rewrite this expression in the form

$$\sum_{j,k} \upsilon^{(k)}(S(p_{ij}){}_C\omega^{(i,k)}(q_{ij})) = \sum_{j,k} (\upsilon^{(k)} \circ S)(t_C({}_C\omega^{(i,k)}(q_{ij}))p_{ij}).$$

But $\sum_j t_C({}_C\omega^{(i,k)}(q_{ij}))p_{ij} = 0$ for each k because for every $\chi \in \hat{A}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_j \chi(t_C({}_C\omega^{(i,k)}(q_{ij}))p_{ij}) &= (\chi \underset{\mu_C}{\otimes} \omega^{(i,k)})(\Delta_C(b)(1 \otimes a_i)) \\ &= \omega^{(i,k)}((b \triangleleft \chi)a_i) = \omega^{(i)}(e^{(k)}(b \triangleleft \chi)a_i) = 0. \qquad \square\end{aligned}$$

5.1.6. **Theorem.** *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mu_B, \mu_C, {}_B\psi_B, {}_C\phi_C)$ be a measured regular multiplier Hopf algebroid, where $\mathcal{A} = (A, B, C, t_B, t_C, \Delta_B, \Delta_C)$ and where $(B, C, t_B, t_C, \mu_B, \mu_C)$ is a Frobenius tuple. Then there exists a unique homomorphism $\Delta\colon A \to M(A \otimes A)$ satisfying*

$$\Delta(a)(b \otimes c) = \iota_C(\Delta_C(a)(b \otimes c)) \quad \text{and} \quad (b \otimes c)\Delta(a) = \iota_B((b \otimes c)\Delta_B(a))$$

for all $a, b, c \in A$, and (A, Δ, ψ, ϕ) is a regular weak multiplier Hopf algebra with integrals.

Proof. Existence of Δ and the fact that (A, Δ) is a regular weak multiplier Hopf algebra follow from [24, Theorem 5.11], ϕ and ψ are a left and a right integral for (A, Δ) by [22, Lemma 3.3] and its right-handed counterpart, and (5.1.3) follows from the relations ${}_B\phi(A) = B = \phi_B(A)$, ${}_C\psi(A) = C = \psi_C(A)$ and (5.1.1), (5.1.2). $\square$

As a corollary, we obtain the following duality of regular weak multiplier Hopf algebras.

5.1.7. **Corollary.** *Let (A, Δ, ψ, ϕ) be a regular weak multiplier Hopf algebra with integrals. Then there exists a regular weak multiplier Hopf algebra $(\hat{A}, \hat{\Delta}, \hat{\psi}, \hat{\phi})$ with counit $\hat{\varepsilon}$ and antipode $\hat{S}$ such that $\hat{A} = A \cdot \phi = \psi \cdot A \subseteq A^\vee$ as a vector space and*

$$\begin{aligned}((a \cdot \phi)(b \cdot \phi))(c) &= (\phi \otimes \phi)(\Delta(c)(a \otimes b)), \\ (\hat{\Delta}(\upsilon)(1 \otimes \omega)|a \otimes b) &= (\upsilon \otimes \omega|(a \otimes 1)\Delta(b)), \\ ((\upsilon \otimes 1)\hat{\Delta}(\omega)|a \otimes b) &= (\upsilon \otimes \omega|\Delta(a)(1 \otimes b)), \\ \hat{\phi}(\psi \cdot a) = \varepsilon(a) = \hat{\psi}(a \cdot \phi), \quad \hat{\varepsilon}(a \cdot \phi) &= \phi(a), \quad \hat{S}(\upsilon) = \upsilon \circ S\end{aligned}$$

for all $a, b \in A$ and $\upsilon, \omega \in \hat{A}$, where $(-|-)$ denotes the canonical pairing of $\hat{A} \otimes \hat{A} \subseteq A^\vee \otimes A^\vee$ with $A \otimes A$.

Proof. We subsequently use Theorem 5.1.4, Theorem 3.4.1 and Theorem 5.1.6 to pass from (A, Δ, ψ, ϕ) to a measured regular multiplier Hopf algebroid, its dual, and the associated regular weak multiplier Hopf algebra with integrals $(\hat{A}, \hat{\Delta}, \hat{\psi}, \hat{\phi})$. The formulas

given for the multiplication, comultiplication, integrals, counit and antipode on $\hat{A}$ follow immediately from Lemma 5.1.2 (3) and Theorem 3.3.8 and 3.4.1. □

In the situation above, we call $(\hat{A}, \hat{\Delta}, \hat{\psi}, \hat{\phi})$ the *dual* of (A, Δ, ψ, ϕ). With the obvious notion of isomorphism between weak multiplier Hopf algebras with integrals, we obtain the following biduality result as a corollary to Theorem 3.4.2:

5.1.8. **Corollary.** *Let (A, Δ, ψ, ϕ) be a regular weak multiplier Hopf algebra with integrals and denote by $(\hat{\hat{A}}, \hat{\hat{\Delta}}, \hat{\hat{\psi}}, \hat{\hat{\phi}})$ the dual of $(\hat{A}, \hat{\Delta}, \hat{\psi}, \hat{\phi})$. Then the natural map $A \to \hat{A}^\vee$ restricts to an isomorphism $A \to \hat{\hat{A}}$ of regular weak multiplier Hopf algebras with integrals.*

5.2. **Étale groupoids.** Let G be a locally compact, Hausdorff groupoid which is *étale* in the sense that the source and the target maps $s, t\colon G \to G^0$ are open and local homeomorphisms [17], and let μ be a Radon measure μ on the space of units G^0 with full support. Suppose that μ is *continuously quasi-invariant* in the sense of [22, Example 3.6], that is, for every open G-set $U \subseteq G$, the two measures on U obtained by pulling back μ along t or s are related by a continuous Radon-Nikodym derivative.

Then there exists a measured multiplier Hopf $*$-algebroid

$$(\mathcal{A}, \mu_B, \mu_C, {}_B\psi_B, {}_C\phi_C), \quad \text{where } \mathcal{A} = (A, B, C, t_B, t_C, \Delta_B, \Delta_C),$$

as follows [22, Example 5.2]. First,

$$A = C_c(G), \quad B = s^*(C_c(G^0)), \quad C = t^*(C_c(G^0)), \quad t_B \circ s^* = t^*, \quad t_C \circ t^* = s^*,$$

where $C_c(G)$ and $C_c(G^0)$ denote the algebras of compactly supported continuous functions on G and on G^0, respectively, and $s^*, t^*\colon C_c(G^0) \to M(C_c(G))$ the pull-back of functions along s and t. The comultiplications Δ_B and Δ_C are given by

$$(\Delta_C(f)(g \otimes h))(\gamma, \gamma') = f(\gamma\gamma')g(\gamma)h(\gamma') = ((g \otimes h)\Delta_B(f))(\gamma, \gamma')$$

for all $f, g, h \in A, \gamma, \gamma' \in G$, where we used the canonical isomorphisms

$$A^C \otimes {}_C A \cong C_c(G_s \times_t G) \cong A_B \otimes {}^B A$$

that identify an elementary tensor $f \otimes g$ with the function $(\gamma, \gamma') \mapsto f(\gamma)g(\gamma')$, and $G_s \times_t G$ denotes the composable pairs of elements of G. Finally,

$$\mu_B(s^*(f)) = \int_{G^0} f \,\mathrm{d}\mu = \mu_C(t^*(f)) \tag{5.2.1}$$

and

$$({}_C\phi_C(f))(\gamma) = \sum_{t(\gamma')=t(\gamma)} f(\gamma'), \qquad ({}_B\psi_B(f))(\gamma) = \sum_{s(\gamma')=s(\gamma)} f(\gamma') \tag{5.2.2}$$

for all $f \in C_c(G)$ and $\gamma \in G$.

The total integrals $\phi := \mu_C \circ {}_C\phi_C$ and $\psi := \mu_B \circ {}_B\psi_B$ correspond to integration with respect to the measures ν and ν^{-1} on G defined by

$$\int_G f \,\mathrm{d}\nu = \int_{G^0} \sum_{r(\gamma)=u} f(\gamma) \,\mathrm{d}\mu(u), \qquad \int_G f \,\mathrm{d}\nu^{-1} = \int_{G^0} \sum_{s(\gamma)=u} f(\gamma) \,\mathrm{d}\mu(u), \tag{5.2.3}$$

respectively, and the assumption that μ is continuously quasi-invariant is equivalent to the relation $\nu = D\nu^{-1}$ for some (unique) invertible $D \in C(G)$.

We show that the dual measured multiplier Hopf $*$-algebroid

$$(\widehat{\mathcal{A}}, \hat{\mu}_C, \hat{\mu}_B, {}_C\hat{\psi}_C, {}_B\hat{\phi}_B), \quad \text{where } \widehat{\mathcal{A}} = (\hat{A}, C, B, \hat{t}_C, \hat{t}_B, \hat{\Delta}_C, \hat{\Delta}_B),$$

coincides with the one associated to the convolution algebra of G in [22, Section 8.1].

By definition, the dual algebra $\hat{A}$ consists of all functionals on $C_c(G)$ of the form

$$\hat{f} := fD^{-1/2} \cdot \phi = fD^{1/2} \cdot \psi \colon g \mapsto \int_G fg d\nu^0,$$

where $f \in C_c(G)$ and $\nu^0 = D^{-1/2}\nu = D^{1/2}\nu^{-1}$. The reason for introducing $D^{-1/2}$ in the definition of $\hat{f}$ will become clear in a moment.

The multiplication on $\hat{A}$ is given by convolution, that is, for all $f, f' \in C_c(G)$,

$$\hat{f} * \hat{f}' = \hat{h}, \quad \text{where } h(\gamma'') = \sum_{\gamma\gamma' = \gamma''} f(\gamma) f'(\gamma') \text{ for all } \gamma \in G. \tag{5.2.4}$$

Indeed, short calculations show that for all $g \in C_c(G)$,

$$({}_B\hat{f}(g))(\gamma) = (\hat{f}_B(g))(\gamma) = \sum_{s(\gamma') = s(\gamma)} f(\gamma') D^{1/2}(\gamma') g(\gamma'), \tag{5.2.5}$$

$$(g \triangleleft \hat{f})(\gamma') = \sum_{s(\gamma) = t(\gamma')} f(\gamma) D^{1/2}(\gamma) g(\gamma\gamma'), \tag{5.2.6}$$

and the last equation and the cocycle relation for D imply

$$((g \triangleleft \hat{f}) \triangleleft \hat{f}')(\gamma'') = \sum_{\substack{s(\gamma) = t(\gamma') \\ s(\gamma') = t(\gamma'')}} f(\gamma) D^{1/2}(\gamma) f'(\gamma') D^{1/2}(\gamma') g(\gamma\gamma'\gamma'') = (g \triangleleft \hat{h})(\gamma'').$$

The involution on $\hat{A}$ is defined by

$$(\hat{f})^*(g) = \overline{\int_G f \overline{S(g)} d\nu^0} = \int_G \overline{f(\gamma)} g(\gamma^{-1}) \, \mathrm{d}\nu^0(\gamma) = \int_G \overline{f(\gamma^{-1})} g(\gamma) \, \mathrm{d}\nu^0(\gamma),$$

whence

$$(\hat{f})^* = \widehat{(f^\dagger)}, \quad \text{where } f^\dagger(\gamma) = \overline{f(\gamma^{-1})} \text{ for all } \gamma \in G. \tag{5.2.7}$$

The embedding of C into $M(\hat{A})$ is given by

$$t^*(h)\hat{f} = \widehat{t^*(h) f} = \hat{h} * \hat{f}, \qquad \hat{f} t^*(h) = \widehat{s^*(h) f} = \hat{f} * \hat{h}$$

for all $f \in C_c(G)$ and $h \in C_c(G^0)$, where $*$ denotes the convolution product. Hence, we can identify C with $\widehat{C_c(G^0)} \subseteq M(\hat{A})$. Similar calculations show that the same is true for B and that with respect to these identifications, $\hat{S}_B$ and $\hat{S}_C$ reduce to the identity.

Let us next compute the right comultiplication $\hat{\Delta}_C$ on $\hat{A}$. First, we can identify

$$\hat{A}_C \otimes {}^C \hat{A} \cong C_c(G_s \times_s G) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{A}^B \otimes {}_B\hat{A} \cong C_c(G_t \times_t G) \tag{5.2.8}$$

such that an elementary tensor $\hat{f} \otimes \hat{g}$ corresponds to the function $(\gamma, \gamma') \mapsto f(\gamma) g(\gamma')$, where $G_s \times_s G$ and $G_t \times_t G$ denote the pairs of all (γ, γ') with $s(\gamma) = s(\gamma')$ or $t(\gamma) = t(\gamma')$,

respectively. Next, we use Proposition 3.3.3, equation (5.2.6), and the cocycle property of D, and find

$$\begin{aligned}((\hat{f}\otimes 1)\hat{\Delta}_C)(\hat{f}')|g\otimes g') &= \hat{f}'((g\triangleleft \hat{f})g')\\ &= \int_G \sum_{s(\gamma)=t(\gamma')} f(\gamma)D^{1/2}(\gamma)g(\gamma\gamma')g'(\gamma')f'(\gamma')d\nu^0(\gamma')\\ &= \int_G \sum_{s(\gamma'')=s(\gamma')} f(\gamma''\gamma'^{-1})D^{1/2}(\gamma'')D^{-1/2}(\gamma')g(\gamma'')g'(\gamma')f'(\gamma')d\nu^0(\gamma').\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the pairing $(\hat{A}_C \otimes {}^C\hat{A}) \times (A_B \otimes {}_BA) \to \mathbb{C}$ is given by

$$(h\otimes h'|g\otimes g') = \int_G \sum_{s(\gamma'')=s(\gamma')} h(\gamma'')g(\gamma'')h'(\gamma')D^{1/2}(\gamma'')g'(\gamma')d\nu^0(\gamma'),$$

and comparing the two expressions we find that with respect to the identification (5.2.8),

$$((\hat{f}\otimes 1)\hat{\Delta}_C(\hat{f}')(\gamma'',\gamma') = f(\gamma''\gamma'^{-1})f'(\gamma')D^{-1/2}(\gamma').$$

A similar calculation shows that

$$(\hat{\Delta}_B(\hat{f})(1\otimes \hat{f}'))(\gamma,\gamma'') = f(\gamma)f'(\gamma^{-1}\gamma'')D^{1/2}(\gamma).$$

Thus, the multiplier Hopf $*$-algebroid $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} = (\hat{A}, C, B, t_B^{-1}, t_C^{-1}, \hat{\Delta}_C, \hat{\Delta}_B)$ coincides with the modified multiplier Hopf $*$-algebroid in [22, Section 8.1]. Finally, the partial integrals ${}_C\hat{\psi}_C$ and ${}_B\hat{\phi}_B$ are given by

$${}_C\hat{\psi}_C(\hat{f}) = {}_C\varepsilon(fD^{-1/2}) \equiv \widehat{f|_{G^0}} \equiv \varepsilon_B(fD^{1/2}) = {}_B\hat{\phi}_B(\hat{f}),$$

where we identified B, C with the subalgebra $\widehat{C_c(G^0)}$ of $M(\hat{A})$ and used the relations ${}_C\varepsilon(g) = t^*(g|_{G^0})$ and $\varepsilon_B(g) = s^*(g|_{G^0})$ [22, Example 2.3].

5.3. **A two-sided crossed product.** Let (H, Δ_H) be a regular multiplier Hopf algebra with a left integral ϕ_H and a right integral ψ_H, and denote its counit and antipode by ε_H and S_H, respectively. Let C be a unital algebra that is a left H-module algebra and write $h \triangleright y$ for the action of an element $h \in H$ on an element $y \in C$. Thus,

$$h\triangleright(h'\triangleright y) = (hh')\triangleright y, \qquad h\triangleright(yy') = (h_{(1)}\triangleright y)(h_{(2)}\triangleright y'), \qquad h\triangleright 1_C = \varepsilon_H(h)1_C$$

for all $h, h' \in H$ and $y \in C$. We suppose that $H \triangleright C = C$. Finally, let μ_C be a faithful functional on C that is normalized in the sense that $\mu_C(1_C) = 1$, invariant with respect to the action of H in the sense that $\mu_C(h\triangleright y) = \varepsilon_H(h)\mu_C(y)$ for all $h \in H$ and $y \in C$, and admits a modular automorphism σ_C.

Then one can define a measured regular multiplier Hopf algebroid

$$(\mathcal{A}, \mu_B, \mu_C, {}_B\psi_B, {}_C\phi_C), \quad \text{where } \mathcal{A} = (A, B, C, t_B, t_C, \Delta_B, \Delta_C),$$

as follows [22, Examples 2.7 and 5.6]. First,

$$B = C^{\mathrm{op}}, \quad t_C(y) = y^{\mathrm{op}} \quad \text{and} \quad t_B(y^{\mathrm{op}}) = \sigma^{-1}(y) \quad \text{for all } y \in C.$$

Note that B carries a natural right action of (H, Δ_H), given by

$$x^{\mathrm{op}} \triangleleft h := (S_H^{-1}(h)\triangleright x)^{\mathrm{op}}.$$

The algebra A is the vector space $C \otimes H \otimes B$ with the multiplication given by

$$(y \otimes h \otimes x^{\mathrm{op}})(y' \otimes h' \otimes x'^{\mathrm{op}}) = y(h_{(1)} \triangleright y') \otimes h_{(2)}h'_{(1)} \otimes (x^{\mathrm{op}} \triangleleft h'_{(2)})x'^{\mathrm{op}}.$$

The algebras C and B embed naturally into $M(A)$, and we identify them with their images in $M(A)$. Moreover, we identify H with the subalgebra $1_C \otimes H \otimes 1_B \subseteq A$. The remaining ingredients of the measured multiplier Hopf algebroid are given by

$$(a \otimes a')\Delta_B(yhx) = ayh_{(1)} \otimes a'h_{(2)}x, \quad \Delta_C(yhx)(a \otimes a') = yh_{(1)}a \otimes h_{(2)}xa',$$
$$\mu_B(y^{\mathrm{op}}) = \mu_C(y), \quad {}_B\psi_B(yhx) = \mu_C(y)\psi_H(h)x, \quad {}_C\phi_C(yhx) = y\phi_H(h)\mu_B(x)$$

for all $y \in C$, $x \in B$, $h \in H$ and $a, a' \in A$. The counits and antipode of $\mathcal{A}$ are given by

$${}_C\varepsilon(x^{\mathrm{op}}hy) = (h \triangleright y)x, \quad \varepsilon_B(x^{\mathrm{op}}hy) = \sigma(y)^{\mathrm{op}}(x^{\mathrm{op}} \triangleleft h), \quad S(yhx^{\mathrm{op}}) = xS_H(h)\sigma(y)^{\mathrm{op}},$$

and the modular automorphism σ_B of μ_B is given by $\sigma_B(y^{\mathrm{op}}) = \sigma_C^{-1}(y)^{\mathrm{op}}$ for all $y \in C$. Note that the canonical embedding of H into A is a morphism of multiplier Hopf algebroids.

Let us now determine the dual multiplier Hopf algebroid

$$\widehat{\mathcal{A}} = (\hat{A}, C, B, t_B^{-1}, t_C^{-1}, \hat{\Delta}_C, \hat{\Delta}_B).$$

Denote by $\mathcal{K}$ the algebra spanned by all linear endomorphisms of C of the form

$$|x\rangle\langle y| \colon z \mapsto \mu_C(zy)x,$$

where $x, y \in C$, by $(\hat{H}, \Delta_{\hat{H}})$ the dual multiplier Hopf algebra of (H, Δ_H) and by $\varepsilon_{\hat{H}}$ and $\phi_{\hat{H}}$ its counit and the dual left integral on $\hat{H}$.

5.3.1. **Proposition.** *The dual algebra $\hat{A}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{K} \otimes \hat{H}$ via*

$$hy \cdot \phi \cdot x^{\mathrm{op}} \mapsto |y\rangle\langle x| \otimes h \cdot \phi_H.$$

With respect to this identification, the embeddings of B and of C into $M(\hat{A})$ are given by

$$z^{\mathrm{op}}(|y\rangle\langle x| \otimes h \cdot \phi_H) = |yz\rangle\langle x| \otimes h \cdot \phi_H,$$
$$z(|y\rangle\langle x| \otimes h \cdot \phi_H) = |(S_H^{-1}(h_{(1)}) \triangleright z)y\rangle\langle x| \otimes h_{(2)} \cdot \phi_H.$$

The map $\hat{H} \to \hat{A} \cong \mathcal{K} \otimes \hat{H}$ given by $\upsilon \mapsto \tilde{\upsilon} := |1_C\rangle\langle 1_C| \otimes \upsilon$ is a morphism of multiplier Hopf algebroids. The counit functional $\hat{\varepsilon}$ and the dual right integral $\hat{\phi}$ of $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ are given by

$$\hat{\varepsilon}(|x\rangle\langle y| \otimes \upsilon) = \mu_C(x)\mu_C(y)\varepsilon_{\hat{H}}(\upsilon), \qquad \hat{\phi}(|x\rangle\langle y| \otimes \upsilon) = \mu_C(yx)\phi_{\hat{H}}(\upsilon).$$

Proof. As a vector space, $\hat{A}$ is spanned by functionals of the form $hy \cdot \phi \cdot x^{\mathrm{op}}$, where $x, y \in C$ and $h \in H$. Such a functional acts on the left on A as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}(hy \cdot \phi \cdot x^{\mathrm{op}}) \triangleright x'^{\mathrm{op}}h'y' &= (\iota \odot {}_C\phi_C)((1 \otimes x^{\mathrm{op}})\Delta_C(x'^{\mathrm{op}}h'y')(1 \otimes hy)) \\ &= t_C({}_C\phi_C(x^{\mathrm{op}}x'^{\mathrm{op}}h'_{(2)}hy))h'_{(1)}y' \\ &= \mu_C(x'x)y^{\mathrm{op}}h'_{(1)}\phi_H(h'_{(2)}h)y' \\ &= (|y\rangle\langle x|x'\rangle)^{\mathrm{op}}\,((h \cdot \phi_H) \triangleright h')\,y'.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the map $hy \cdot \phi \cdot x^{\mathrm{op}} \mapsto |y\rangle\langle x| \otimes h \cdot \phi_H$ is an isomorphism from $\hat{A}$ to $\mathcal{K} \otimes \hat{H}$.

The embeddings of B and of C into $M(\hat{A})$ defined by (3.1.4) take the form

$$\begin{aligned} z^{\mathrm{op}}(|y\rangle\langle x| \otimes h \cdot \phi_H) &\equiv (hy \cdot \phi \cdot x^{\mathrm{op}}) \cdot t_B(z^{\mathrm{op}}) \\ &= (hy \cdot \phi \cdot x^{\mathrm{op}} \sigma_C^{-1}(z)) = (hyz \cdot \phi \cdot x^{\mathrm{op}}) \equiv |yz\rangle\langle x| \otimes h \cdot \phi_H, \\ z(|y\rangle\langle x| \otimes h \cdot \phi_H) &\equiv z \cdot (hy \cdot \phi \cdot x^{\mathrm{op}}) \\ &= h_{(2)}(S_H^{-1}(h_{(1)}) \triangleright z)y \cdot \phi \cdot x^{\mathrm{op}} \equiv |(S_H^{-1}(h_{(1)}) \triangleright z)y\rangle\langle x| \otimes h_{(2)} \cdot \phi_H. \end{aligned}$$

The map $\upsilon \mapsto \tilde{\upsilon}$ is an algebra homomorphism because μ_C is normalized. We show that this map is compatible with the left comultiplications on $\hat{H}$ and on $\hat{A}$.

Let $h \in H$ and $\upsilon = h \cdot \phi_H \in \hat{H}$. Then $\tilde{\upsilon} = h \cdot \phi$, ${}_C\tilde{\upsilon} = h \cdot {}_C\phi_C$, and since μ_C is invariant,

$$\begin{gathered} \tilde{\upsilon}(x'^{\mathrm{op}} h' y') = \mu_C(y')\mu_C(x')\upsilon(h'), \quad {}_C\tilde{\upsilon}(x'^{\mathrm{op}} h' y) = (h'_{(1)} \triangleright y')\mu_C(x')\upsilon(h'_{(2)}), \qquad (5.3.1) \\ \tilde{\upsilon} \triangleright x'^{\mathrm{op}} h' y' = \mu_C(x')(\upsilon \triangleright h')y' \end{gathered}$$

for all $x', y' \in C$ and $h' \in H$. Fix $\upsilon, \upsilon' \in \hat{H}$ and let $a = x^{\mathrm{op}} hy$, $a' = x'^{\mathrm{op}} h' y'$ in A. By Proposition 3.3.3 and the calculations above,

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{\Delta}_B(\tilde{\upsilon})(1 \otimes \tilde{\upsilon'})|a \otimes a') &= \tilde{\upsilon}(a(\tilde{\upsilon'} \triangleright a')) \\ &= \tilde{\upsilon}(x^{\mathrm{op}} hy(\upsilon' \triangleright h')y')\mu_C(x') \\ &= \mu_C(x')\mu_C(x)\mu_C(y(h'_{(1)} \triangleright y'))\upsilon(hh'_{(2)})\upsilon'(h'_{(3)}), \end{aligned}$$

where we used the preceding calculations and invariance of μ_C. Write $\Delta_{\hat{H}}(\upsilon)(1 \otimes \upsilon') = \sum_i \omega_i \otimes \omega'_i$ with $\omega_i, \omega'_i \in \hat{H}$. Then the expression above can be written in the form

$$(\hat{\Delta}_B(\tilde{\upsilon})(1 \otimes \tilde{\upsilon'})|a \otimes a') = \sum_i \mu_C(x')\mu_C(x)\mu_C(y(h'_{(1)} \triangleright y'))\omega_i(h)\omega'_i(h'_{(2)}).$$

On the other hand, using (5.3.1), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\omega}_i \otimes \tilde{\omega}'_i|a \otimes a') &= \tilde{\omega}_i(x^{\mathrm{op}} hy\, {}_C\tilde{\omega}'_i(x'^{\mathrm{op}} h' y')) \\ &= \tilde{\omega}_i(x^{\mathrm{op}} hy(h'_{(1)} \triangleright y'))\mu_C(x')\omega'_i(h'_{(2)}) \\ &= \mu_C(x)\mu_C(x')\mu_C(y(h'_{(1)} \triangleright y'))\omega_i(h)\omega'_i(h'_{(2)}), \end{aligned}$$

where the pairing on the left hand side is the pairing of $\hat{A}^B \otimes {}_B\hat{A}$ and $A_C \otimes {}_CA$, see Lemma 3.3.1. Comparing with the equation above, we find that

$$\hat{\Delta}_B(\tilde{\upsilon})(1 \otimes \tilde{\upsilon'}) = \sum_i \tilde{\omega}_i \otimes \tilde{\omega}'_i.$$

The map $\upsilon \mapsto \tilde{\upsilon}$ intertwines the antipode of $\hat{H}$ and the antipode of $\hat{A}$ because

$$\tilde{\upsilon}(S(x^{\mathrm{op}} hy)) = \tilde{\upsilon}(\sigma_C(y)^{\mathrm{op}} S_H(h)x) = \mu_C(y)\mu_C(x)\upsilon(S_H(h)) = \widetilde{\upsilon \circ S_H}(x^{\mathrm{op}} hy)$$

for all $\upsilon \in \hat{H}$ and $x, y \in C$. In particular, it follows that this map is also compatible with the right comultiplications on $\hat{H}$ and $\hat{A}$.

The formulas given for the counit functional and the left integral on $\hat{A}$ follow immediately from the definitions. □

5.4. **Braided-commutative Yetter-Drinfeld algebras.** Let (H, Δ_H) be a regular Hopf algebra with an integral ϕ_H that is normalized in the sense that $\phi_H(1_H) = 1$, and denote its counit and antipode by ε_H and S_H, respectively. Let C be a unital braided-commutative Yetter-Drinfeld algebra, that is, C is a left H-module algebra and a right H^{op}-comodule algebra such that the left action, written $(h, y) \mapsto h \triangleright y$, and the coaction, written $y \mapsto y_{(0)} \otimes y_{(1)}$, satisfy the Yetter-Drinfeld condition

$$(h_{(2)} \triangleright y)_{(0)} \otimes (h_{(2)} \triangleright y)_{(1)} h_{(1)} = h_{(1)} \triangleright y_{(0)} \otimes h_{(2)} y_{(1)} \tag{5.4.1}$$

and the braided commutativity condition

$$yy' = y'_{(0)}(y'_{(1)} \triangleright y) = (S_H(y_{(1)}) \triangleright y') y_{(0)}. \tag{5.4.2}$$

Suppose furthermore that μ_C is a faithful functional on C that is normalized, that is, $\mu_C(1_C) = 1$, and H-invariant in the sense that

$$\mu_C(y_{(0)}) y_{(1)} = \mu_C(y) 1_H \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_C(h \triangleright y) = \varepsilon_H(h) \mu_C(y) \tag{5.4.3}$$

for all $h \in H$ and $y \in C$.

Denote by $C\#H$ the smash product for the action, which is the vector space $C \otimes H$ with multiplication given by $(y \otimes h)(y' \otimes h') = y(h_{(1)} \triangleright y') \otimes h_{(2)} h'$, and identify C and H with the subalgebras $C\#1_H$ and $1_C\#H$ of $C\#H$.

Then one can define a (unital) measured regular multiplier Hopf algebroid

$$(\mathcal{A}, \mu_B, \mu_C, {}_B\psi_B, {}_C\phi_C), \quad \text{where } \mathcal{A} = (A, B, C, t_B, t_C, \Delta_B, \Delta_C),$$

as follows; see Theorem 4.1 in [6], Example 4.1.5 in [2] and [22, Examples 2.6 and 5.5]:

$$\begin{aligned}
&A = C\#H, \quad B = \{y_{(0)}\#y_{(1)} : y \in C\} \subseteq C\#H, \quad C \equiv \{y\#1 : y \in C\},\\
&t_B(y_{(0)}\#y_{(1)}) = S_H^{-1}(y_{(1)}) \triangleright y_{(0)}, \qquad t_C(y) = y_{(0)}\#y_{(1)},\\
&(a \otimes a')\Delta_B(hy) = a h_{(1)} y \otimes a' h_{(2)}, \quad \Delta_C(yh)(a \otimes a') = y h_{(1)} a \otimes h_{(2)} a',\\
&\mu_B(y_{(0)}\#y_{(1)}) = \mu_C(y), \quad {}_C\phi_C(yh) = y\phi_H(h), \quad {}_B\psi_B(hx) = \phi_H(h)x
\end{aligned}$$

for all $y \in C$, $x \in B$, $h \in H$, and $a, a' \in A$. The antipode and counits of $\mathcal{A}$ are given by

$$S(yh) = S_H(h) S_H^2(y_{(1)}) y_{(0)}, \qquad \varepsilon_B(hx) = \varepsilon_H(h)x, \qquad {}_C\varepsilon(yh) = y\varepsilon_H(h) \tag{5.4.4}$$

for all $y \in C$, $h \in H$ and $x \in B$.

Note that the embedding of H into A is a morphism in the sense of Definition 4.1.3.

Let us now determine the dual multiplier Hopf algebroid

$$\widehat{\mathcal{A}} = (\hat{A}, C, B, t_B^{-1}, t_C^{-1}, \hat{\Delta}_C, \hat{\Delta}_B).$$

Denote by $\hat{H} = H \cdot \phi_H$ the dual multiplier Hopf algebra of H, by $\varepsilon_{\hat{H}}$ its counit, and by $\psi_{\hat{H}}$ the dual right integral, given by $h \cdot \phi_h \mapsto \phi_H(h)$.

The algebra B carries a left action of $\hat{H}$, given by

$$\upsilon \triangleright t_C(y) = t_C(y_{(0)}) \upsilon(y_{(1)})$$

for all $\upsilon \in \hat{H}$ and $y \in C$. Using the relation $y_{(0)} \otimes y_{(1)} S_H(y_{(2)}) = y \otimes 1_H$, one finds that $\hat{H} \triangleright B = B$, and short calculations show that for all $y, y' \in C$ and $\upsilon, \omega \in \hat{H}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \upsilon \triangleright (\omega \triangleright t_C(y)) &= t_C(y_{(0)})\upsilon(y_{(1)})\omega(y_{(2)}) = (\upsilon\omega) \triangleright t_C(y), \\ \upsilon \triangleright t_C(y)t_C(y') &= t_C(y'_{(0)}y_{(0)})\upsilon(y_{(1)}y'_{(1)}) = (\upsilon_{(1)} \triangleright t_C(y))(\upsilon_{(2)} \triangleright t_C(y')), \\ \upsilon \triangleright t_C(1_C) &= \varepsilon_{\hat{H}}(\upsilon) t_C(1_C). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, B becomes a left $\hat{H}$-module algebra; see also [29, Propositions 3.1]. Moreover, by [29, Propositions 3.2] C carries a unique right coaction

$$C \to M(C \otimes \hat{H}),\ y \mapsto y_{\langle 0\rangle} \otimes y_{\langle 1\rangle},$$

such that $h \triangleright y = y_{\langle 1\rangle}(h) y_{\langle 0\rangle}$ for all $h \in H$, $y \in C$, and the resulting map

$$B \to M(B \otimes \hat{H}^{\mathrm{op}}),\ t_C(y) \mapsto t_C(y_{\langle 0\rangle}) \otimes y_{\langle 1\rangle}$$

turns B into a right $\hat{H}^{\mathrm{op}}$-module algebra.

5.4.1. **Proposition.** *The algebra $\hat{A}$ is isomorphic to $B\#\hat{H}$ via*

$$(hy \cdot \phi) \mapsto t_C(y)\#(h \cdot \phi_H).$$

With respect to this identification, the embeddings of B and C into $M(\hat{A})$ are given by

$$x(x'\#\upsilon) = xx'\#\upsilon, \qquad\qquad y(x\#\upsilon) = xt_C(y_{\langle 0\rangle})\#y_{\langle 1\rangle}\upsilon.$$

The map $\hat{H} \to \hat{A} \cong B\#\hat{H}$ given by $\upsilon \mapsto \tilde{\upsilon} := 1_C\#\upsilon$ is a morphism of multiplier Hopf algebroids. The counit functional $\hat{\varepsilon}$ and the dual right integral $\hat{\phi}$ of $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ are given by

$$\hat{\varepsilon}(t_C(z)\#\upsilon) = \mu_C(z)\varepsilon_{\hat{H}}(\upsilon), \qquad\qquad \hat{\psi}(t_C(z)\#\upsilon) = \mu_C(z)\psi_{\hat{H}}(\upsilon).$$

Proof. We proceed along the same lines as in the proof of Proposition 5.3.1.

Define a map $\hat{H} \to \hat{A}$, $\upsilon \mapsto \tilde{\upsilon}$, by $h \cdot \phi_H \mapsto h \cdot \phi$ for all $h \in H$. We shall see in a moment that then $\tilde{\upsilon} \in \hat{A}$ can be identified with $1_C\#\upsilon \in B\#\hat{H}$.

The map $\upsilon \mapsto \tilde{\upsilon}$ is a homomorphism because for every element $\upsilon = h \cdot \phi_H$ in $\hat{H}$, and all $y' \in C$, $h' \in H$,

$$\tilde{\upsilon} \triangleright (y'h') = (\iota \odot_C \phi_C)(\Delta_C(y'h')(1 \otimes h)) = t_C({}_C\phi_C(h'_{(2)}h))(y'h'_{(1)}) = y'(\upsilon \triangleright h'). \quad (5.4.5)$$

By (3.1.4),

$$\begin{aligned} t_C(z)\tilde{\upsilon} = h \cdot \phi \cdot t_B(t_C(z)) = hz \cdot \phi, \quad (t_C(z)\tilde{\upsilon})(y'h') = \phi(y'h'hz) = \mu_C(y'z)\upsilon(h'), \\ (\tilde{\upsilon}t_C(z))(y'h') = \phi(t_C(z)y'h'h) = \phi(y't_C(z)h'h) = \mu_C(y'z_{(0)})\upsilon(z_{(1)}h'). \end{aligned}$$

Since $t_C(z_{(0)}) \otimes \upsilon(z_{(1)}-) = (\upsilon_{(1)} \triangleright t_C(z)) \otimes \upsilon_{(2)}$, we can conclude that

$$\tilde{\upsilon}t_C(z) = t_C(\upsilon_{(1)} \triangleright t_C(z))\widetilde{\upsilon_{(2)}}.$$

Consequently, the map $t_C(z)\#\upsilon \mapsto t_C(z)\tilde{\upsilon}$ is a homomorphism from $B\#\hat{H}$ to $\hat{A}$. Since $\hat{A}$ is spanned by functionals of the form $hz \cdot \phi = t_C(z)\tilde{\upsilon}$, this map is an isomorphism. By (3.1.4),

$$\begin{aligned} (y(t_C(z)\tilde{\upsilon}))(y'h') &= (t_C(z)\tilde{\upsilon})(y'h'y) \\ &= (t_C(z)\tilde{\upsilon})(y'(h'_{(1)} \triangleright y)h'_{(2)}) = \mu_C(y'(h'_{(1)} \triangleright y)z)\upsilon(h'_{(2)}) \end{aligned}$$

and hence $y(t_C(z)\#\upsilon) = t_C(z)t_C(y_{\langle 0\rangle})\#y_{\langle 1\rangle}\upsilon$.

Next, we show that the map $\upsilon \mapsto \tilde{\upsilon}$ is compatible with the left comultiplications on $\hat{H}$ and $\hat{A}$. Let $\upsilon, \upsilon' \in \hat{H}$, $y, y' \in C$ and $h, h' \in H$. Then by Proposition 3.3.3,

$$\begin{aligned}(\hat{\Delta}_B(\tilde{\upsilon})(1 \otimes \tilde{\upsilon'})|yh \otimes y'h') &= \tilde{\upsilon}(yh(\tilde{\upsilon'} \triangleright y'h')) \\ &= \tilde{\upsilon}(yhy'(\upsilon' \triangleright h')) = \mu_C(y(h_{(1)} \triangleright y'))\upsilon(h_{(2)}h'_{(1)})\upsilon'(h'_{(2)}).\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, write $\Delta_{\hat{H}}(\upsilon)(1 \otimes \upsilon') = \sum_i \omega_i \otimes \omega'_i$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}(\tilde{\omega_i} \otimes \tilde{\omega'_i}|yh \otimes y'h') &= \omega_i(yhy')\omega'_i(h') \\ &= \mu_C(y(h_{(1)} \triangleright y'))\omega_i(h_{(2)})\omega'_i(h') = \mu_C(y(h_{(1)} \triangleright y'))\upsilon(h_{(2)}h'_{(1)})\upsilon'(h'_{(2)}),\end{aligned}$$

where the pairing on the left hand side is the pairing of $\hat{A}^B \otimes {}_B\hat{A}$ and $A_C \otimes {}_CA$, see Lemma 3.3.1. Comparing with the equation above, we find that

$$\hat{\Delta}_B(\tilde{\upsilon})(1 \otimes \tilde{\upsilon'}) = \sum_i \tilde{\omega}_i \otimes \tilde{\omega}'_i.$$

Next, we claim that the map $\upsilon \mapsto \tilde{\upsilon}$ intertwines the antipodes on $\hat{H}$ and on $\hat{A}$. Indeed, since μ_C is invariant with respect to the action of H and the coaction of H^{op},

$$\tilde{\upsilon}(S(yh)) = \tilde{\upsilon}(S_H(h)S_H^2(y_{(1)})y_{(0)}) = \mu_C(y)\upsilon(S_H(h)) = \widetilde{(\upsilon \circ S_H)}(yh)$$

for all $\upsilon \in \hat{H}$, $y \in C$ and $h \in H$.

Consequently, the map $\upsilon \mapsto \tilde{\upsilon}$ is also compatible with the right comultiplications and hence a morphism of multiplier Hopf algebroids.

Again, the formulas given for the counit functional and the left integral on $\hat{A}$ follow immediately from the definitions. □

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank Alfons Van Daele for inspiring and fruitful discussions.

References

[1] G. Böhm. Integral theory for Hopf algebroids. *Algebr. Represent. Theory*, 8(4):563–599, 2005.
[2] G. Böhm. Hopf algebroids. In *Handbook of algebra. Vol. 6*, volume 6 of *Handb. Algebr.*, pages 173–235. Elsevier/North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2009.
[3] G. Böhm. Erratum to: "Integral theory for Hopf algebroids" [Algebra Represent. Theory (2005) 8:563–599] [mr2199210]. *Algebr. Represent. Theory*, 13(6):755–759, 2010.
[4] G. Böhm, J. Gómez-Torrecillas, and E. López-Centella. Weak multiplier bialgebras. *to appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, June 2013. arXiv:1306.1466.
[5] G. Böhm and K. Szlachányi. Hopf algebroids with bijective antipodes: axioms, integrals, and duals. *J. Algebra*, 274(2):708–750, 2004.
[6] T. Brzeziński and G. Militaru. Bialgebroids, $\times_A$-bialgebras and duality. *J. Algebra*, 251(1):279–294, 2002.
[7] V. Chari and A. Pressley. *A guide to quantum groups*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.
[8] B. Drabant, A. Van Daele, and Y. Zhang. Actions of multiplier Hopf algebras. *Comm. Algebra*, 27(9):4117–4172, 1999.
[9] M. Enock. Measured quantum groupoids in action. *Mém. Soc. Math. Fr. (N.S.)*, 114:ii+150 pp. (2009), 2008.
[10] L. Kadison and K. Szlachányi. Bialgebroid actions on depth two extensions and duality. *Adv. Math.*, 179(1):75–121, 2003.

[11] L. I. Korogodski and Y. S. Soibelman. *Algebras of functions on quantum groups. Part I*, volume 56 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998.
[12] J. Kustermans. The analytic structure of algebraic quantum groups. *J. Algebra*, 259(2):415–450, 2003.
[13] J. Kustermans and S. Vaes. Locally compact quantum groups. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 33(6):837–934, 2000.
[14] J. Kustermans and A. Van Daele. C^*-algebraic quantum groups arising from algebraic quantum groups. *Internat. J. Math.*, 8(8):1067–1139, 1997.
[15] F. Lesieur. Measured quantum groupoids. *Mém. Soc. Math. Fr. (N.S.)*, 109:iv+158 pp. (2008), 2007.
[16] J.-H. Lu. Hopf algebroids and quantum groupoids. *Internat. J. Math.*, 7(1):47–70, 1996.
[17] J. Renault. *A groupoid approach to C^*-algebras*, volume 793 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, Berlin, 1980.
[18] P. Schauenburg. Duals and doubles of quantum groupoids ($\times_R$-Hopf algebras). In *New trends in Hopf algebra theory (La Falda, 1999)*, volume 267 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 273–299. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000.
[19] K. Szlachányi. Weak Hopf algebras. In *Operator algebras and quantum field theory (Rome, 1996)*, pages 621–632. Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1997.
[20] T. Timmermann. The Kac-Takesaki operator of algebraic quantum groupoids. in preparation.
[21] T. Timmermann. Measured quantum groupoids associated to proper dynamical quantum groups. *J. Noncommut. Geom.*, 9(1):35–82, 2015.
[22] T. Timmermann. Integration on algebraic quantum groupoids. *Internat. J. Math.*, 27(2):1650014, 72, 2016.
[23] T. Timmermann and A. Van Daele. Regular multiplier Hopf algebroids. Basic theory and examples. *ArXiv e-prints*, 1307.0769, July 2013.
[24] T. Timmermann and A. Van Daele. Multiplier Hopf algebroids arising from weak multiplier Hopf algebras. *Banach Center Publications*, 106:73–110, 2015.
[25] A. Van Daele. An algebraic framework for group duality. *Adv. Math.*, 140(2):323–366, 1998.
[26] A. Van Daele. Separability idempotents and multiplier algebras. *ArXiv e-prints*, arxiv.1301.4398, 2013.
[27] A. Van Daele and S. Wang. Weak multiplier Hopf algebras. Preliminaries, motivation and basic examples. In P. M. Soltan and W. Pusz, editors, *Operator Algebras and Quantum Groups*, volume 98 of *Banach Center Publ.*, pages 367–415. Polish Academy of Sciences, Polish Academy of Sciences, Institute of Mathematics, Warsaw, 2012.
[28] A. Van Daele and S. Wang. Weak multiplier Hopf algebras. The main theory. *ArXiv e-prints*, 1210.4395, 2015. to appear in J. Reine Angew. Math., DOI 10.1515/crelle-2013-0053.
[29] A. Van Daele and Y. H. Zhang. Galois theory for multiplier Hopf algebras with integrals. *Algebr. Represent. Theory*, 2(1):83–106, 1999.
[30] P. Xu. Quantum groupoids. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 216(3):539–581, 2001.
[31] B. Zimmermann-Huisgen. Pure submodules of direct products of free modules. *Math. Ann.*, 224(3):233–245, 1976.

FB Mathematik und Informatik, University of Muenster, Einsteinstr. 62, 48149 Muenster, Germany

E-mail address: timmermt@math.uni-muenster.de